## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

(Mark C	One)		FORM 10-Q	
✓		suant to Section 13 or 15	(d) of the Securities Exchange A	ct of 1934
			or	
	TRANSITION REPOR	T PURSUANT TO SECTIO	N 13 OR 15(d) of the Securities Exc	hange Act of 1934
			to	
	Commission file n	umber 1-6368		
			Credit Company L egistrant as specified in its charte	
	De	elaware	;	38-1612444
	,	f organization)	,	loyer identification no.)
		ad, Dearborn, Michigan		48126
	(Address of princ	cipal executive offices)		(Zip code)
		(Registrant's telep	(313) 322-3000 phone number, including area co	de)
Securi	ities Exchange Act of	1934 during the precedir	has filed all reports required to b g 12 months (or for such shorter ect to such filing requirements for	
Interac chapte	ctive Data File require	ed to be submitted and po	sted pursuant to Rule 405 of Re	sted on its Web site, if any, every gulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this It was required to submit and post
a sma	ller reporting compan		large accelerated filer," "accelera	erated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or ated filer" and "smaller reporting
Large	accelerated filer 🗆	Accelerated filer □ (Do not check if	Non-accelerated filer ☑ a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company □

All of the limited liability company interests in the registrant ("Shares") are held by an affiliate of the registrant. None of the Shares are publicly traded.

#### REDUCED DISCLOSURE FORMAT

The registrant meets the conditions set forth in General Instruction H(1)(a) and (b) of Form 10-Q and is therefore filing this Form with the reduced disclosure format.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

☐ Yes ☑ No

#### FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q For the Quarter Ended June 30, 2013

	Table of Contents	Page
	Part I - Financial Information	
1	<u>Financial Statements</u>	1
	Consolidated Income Statement	<u>1</u>
	Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income	<u>1</u>
	Consolidated Balance Sheet	<u>2</u>
	Consolidated Statement of Shareholder's Interest	<u>3</u>
	Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	<u>4</u>
	Notes to the Financial Statements	<u>5</u>
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	<u>35</u>
2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>36</u>
	Results of Operations	<u>36</u>
	Contract Placement Volume and Financing Share	<u>39</u>
	Financial Condition	<u>40</u>
	<u>Credit Risk</u>	<u>41</u>
	Residual Risk	<u>44</u>
	<u>Credit Ratings</u>	<u>45</u>
	<u>Funding</u>	<u>46</u>
	<u>Liquidity</u>	<u>47</u>
	<u>Leverage</u>	<u>49</u>
	Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Adopted	<u>50</u>
	<u>Outlook</u>	<u>50</u>
	Risk Factors	<u>51</u>
	Other Financial Information	<u>52</u>
	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>52</u>
-	Controls and Procedures	<u>52</u>
	Part II - Other Information	
5	Other Information	<u>53</u>
	<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>53</u>
	<u>Signatures</u>	<u>54</u>
	Exhibit Index	<u>55</u>

#### **PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

#### **ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

## FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENT For the Periods Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in millions)

		Second	Quart	er	First Half					
	:	2013		2012		2013		2012		
				(unau	dited)					
Financing revenue										
Operating leases	\$	791	\$	636	\$	1,522	\$	1,237		
Retail		436		471		882		958		
Interest supplements and other support costs earned from affiliated companies		583		583		1,166		1,211		
Wholesale		255		235		495		482		
Other		14		13		29		26		
Total financing revenue	'	2,079		1,938		4,094		3,914		
Depreciation on vehicles subject to operating leases		(725)		(583)		(1,367)		(1,168)		
Interest expense		(682)		(774)		(1,365)		(1,577)		
Net financing margin		672		581		1,362		1,169		
Other revenue										
Insurance premiums earned		30		25		59		51		
Other income, net (Note 11)		46		57		123		122		
Total financing margin and other revenue		748		663		1,544		1,342		
Expenses										
Operating expenses		240		248		490		491		
Provision for credit losses (Note 4)		20		(51)		49		(75)		
Insurance expenses		34		28		44		36		
Total expenses		294		225		583		452		
Income before income taxes		454		438		961		890		
Provision for income taxes (Note 1)		179		142		322		299		
Net income	\$	275	\$	296	\$	639	\$	591		

#### CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME For the Periods Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in millions)

	Second	Quar	ter	First Half				
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
			(unau	dited)				
Net income	\$ 275	\$	296	\$	639	\$	591	
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax (Note 10)								
Foreign currency translation	(51)		(252)		(238)		(44)	
Total other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	(51)		(252)		(238)		(44)	
Comprehensive income	\$ 224	\$	44	\$	401	\$	547	

## FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (in millions)

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS	(un	audited)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,42	1 \$ 9,189
Marketable securities	3,08	4 2,106
Finance receivables, net (Note 2)	76,43	4 75,063
Net investment in operating leases (Note 3)	17,56	9 14,701
Notes and accounts receivable from affiliated companies	96	7 1,173
Derivative financial instruments (Note 7)	78	4 1,256
Other assets (Note 8)	2,22	6 2,256
Total assets	\$ 108,48	5 105,744
LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable		
Customer deposits, dealer reserves, and other	\$ 1,23	4 \$ 1,072
Affiliated companies	64	6 234
Total accounts payable	1,88	0 1,306
Debt (Note 9)	90,82	2 89,258
Deferred income taxes	1,69	1,669
Derivative financial instruments (Note 7)	38	0 400
Other liabilities and deferred income (Note 8)	3,67	9 3,458
Total liabilities	98,45	9 96,091
SHAREHOLDER'S INTEREST		
Shareholder's interest	5,27	4 5,274
Accumulated other comprehensive income (Note 10)	50	5 743
Retained earnings	4,24	7 3,636
Total shareholder's interest	10,02	6 9,653
Total liabilities and shareholder's interest	\$ 108,48	5 \$ 105,744

The following table includes assets to be used to settle the liabilities of the consolidated variable interest entities ("VIEs"). These assets and liabilities are included in the consolidated balance sheet above. See Notes 5 and 6 for additional information on our VIEs.

	ıne 30, 2013	December 31, 2012		
ASSETS	(unau	dited)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 2,838	\$	2,877	
Finance receivables, net	44,862		47,190	
Net investment in operating leases	6,282		6,308	
Derivative financial instruments	36		4	
LIABILITIES				
Debt	\$ 39,426	\$	40,245	
Derivative financial instruments	40		134	

# FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDER'S INTEREST For the Periods Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in millions, unaudited)

	eholder's nterest	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Note 10)			Retained Earnings	Total
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 5,274	\$	743	\$	3,636	\$ 9,653
Net income	_		_		639	639
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	_		(238)		_	(238)
Distributions	_		_		(28)	(28)
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 5,274	\$	505	\$	4,247	\$ 10,026
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 5,274	\$	600	\$	3,022	\$ 8,896
Net income	_		_		591	591
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	_		(44)		_	(44)
Distributions	_		_		(300)	(300)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 5,274	\$	556	\$	3,313	\$ 9,143

# FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS For the Periods Ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 (in millions)

		First Half				
	2013	2012				
	-	(unaudited)	_			
Cash flows from operating activities						
Net income	\$	639 \$ 5	591			
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operations						
Provision for credit losses		49 (	(75)			
Depreciation and amortization	•	1,821 1,6	358			
Amortization of upfront interest supplements		(878)	386)			
Net change in deferred income taxes		60 2	275			
Net change in other assets		471 4	486			
Net change in other liabilities	,	1,366 3	351			
All other operating activities		(229) (1	192)			
Net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities		3,299 2,2	208			
Cash flows from investing activities						
Purchases of finance receivables (other than wholesale)	(15	5,544) (13,8	357)			
Collections of finance receivables (other than wholesale)	14	1,602 13,7	729			
Purchases of operating lease vehicles	(6	6,320) (4,8	318)			
Liquidations of operating lease vehicles	•	1,897 1,7	773			
Net change in wholesale receivables	(*	1,478) 9	918			
Net change in notes receivable from affiliated companies		3 1	142			
Purchases of marketable securities	(17	7,389) (9,9	932)			
Proceeds from sales and maturities of marketable securities	16	5,386 9,8	383			
Settlements of derivatives		109	(3)			
All other investing activities		(23) (1	111)			
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities	(7	7,757) (2,2	276)			
Cash flows from financing activities						
Proceeds from issuances of long-term debt	18	3,158 18,8	329			
Principal payments on long-term debt	(11	1,505) (16,7	704)			
Change in short-term debt, net	(3	3,822) (2,8	301)			
Cash distributions to parent		(28)	300)			
All other financing activities		22	35			
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities		2,825 (9-	941)			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(135)	(53)			
			_			
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (*	1,768) \$ (1,0)	)62)			
Cash and cash equivalents at January 1	\$	9,189 \$ 8,7	713			
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		1,768) (1,0				
Cash and cash equivalents at June 30			351			

#### **Table of Contents**

<u>Footnote</u>		<u>Page</u>
Note 1	Accounting Policies	<u>6</u>
Note 2	Finance Receivables	<u>7</u>
Note 3	Net Investment in Operating Leases	<u>12</u>
Note 4	Allowance for Credit Losses	<u>13</u>
Note 5	Transfers of Receivables	<u>15</u>
Note 6	Variable Interest Entities	<u>17</u>
Note 7	Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities	<u>19</u>
Note 8	Other Assets and Other Liabilities and Deferred Income	<u>22</u>
Note 9	Debt	<u>22</u>
<u>Note 10</u>	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	<u>25</u>
<u>Note 11</u>	Other Income	<u>25</u>
Note 12	Fair Value Measurements	<u>26</u>
Note 13	Segment Information	<u>31</u>
Note 14	Commitments and Contingencies	<u>33</u>

#### **NOTE 1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

#### **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information, and instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. In the opinion of management, these unaudited financial statements include all adjustments considered necessary for a fair statement of the results of operations and financial condition for interim periods for Ford Motor Credit Company LLC, its consolidated subsidiaries and consolidated VIEs in which Ford Motor Credit Company LLC is the primary beneficiary (collectively referred to herein as "Ford Credit", "we", "our", or "us"). Results for interim periods should not be considered indicative of results for any other interim period or for the full year. Reference should be made to the financial statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. We are an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Ford Motor Company ("Ford").

#### **Provision for Income Taxes**

For interim tax reporting we estimate one single effective tax rate, which is applied to the year-to-date ordinary income/(loss). Tax effects of significant unusual or extraordinary items are excluded from the estimated annual effective tax rate calculation and recognized in the interim period in which they occur.

The American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (the "Act") was signed into law on January 2, 2013. The Act reinstated U.S. tax deferral of certain foreign source income, retroactive to January 1, 2012. As a result, the tax provision for the six months ended June 30, 2013 reflects a \$64 million tax benefit related to the retroactive provisions of the Act.

#### **Adoption of New Accounting Standards**

Balance Sheet - Offsetting. On January 1, 2013, we adopted the new accounting standard that requires disclosures about offsetting and related arrangements for derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions. See Notes 7 and 12 for further disclosure regarding balance sheet offsetting.

Comprehensive Income - Reporting of Reclassification Adjustments. During 2012, we early adopted the new accounting standard that requires us to disclose significant amounts reclassified out of each component of Accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") and the affected income statement line item only if the item reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety. We did not reclassify any amounts out of AOCI during the first half of 2013 or 2012.

#### **Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Adopted**

Income Taxes - Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued a new accounting standard that requires an unrecognized tax benefit to be presented as a decrease in a deferred tax asset where a net operating loss, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists and certain criteria are met. The new accounting standard is effective as of January 1, 2014 and is consistent with our present practice.

Derivatives and Hedging - Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate ("OIS Rate") as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes. In July 2013, the FASB issued a new accounting standard that permits the use of the OIS Rate as an acceptable U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes and removes the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. The new accounting standard is effective for us prospectively for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into beginning July 17, 2013.

Foreign Currency Matters - Parent's Accounting for Cumulative Translation Adjustment. In March 2013, the FASB issued a new accounting standard that clarifies the applicable guidance for a parent company's accounting for the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income upon derecognition of certain subsidiaries or groups of assets within a foreign entity or of an investment in a foreign entity. The new accounting standard is effective as of January 1, 2014 and is consistent with our present practice.

#### **NOTE 1. ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

Liabilities - Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements. In February 2013, the FASB issued a new accounting standard that provides guidance for the recognition, measurement and disclosure of obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements. The new accounting standard is effective for us as of January 1, 2014 and we do not expect the standard to have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, or financial statement disclosures.

#### **NOTE 2. FINANCE RECEIVABLES**

We segment our North America and International portfolio of finance receivables into "consumer" and "non-consumer" receivables. The receivables are generally secured by the vehicles, inventory, or other property being financed.

Consumer Segment. Receivables in this portfolio segment include products offered to individuals and businesses that finance the acquisition of Ford and Lincoln vehicles from dealers for personal or commercial use. Retail financing includes retail installment contracts for new and used vehicles and direct financing leases with retail customers, government entities, daily rental companies, and fleet customers.

*Non-Consumer Segment.* Receivables in this portfolio segment include products offered to automotive dealers and receivables purchased from Ford and its affiliates. The products include:

- Dealer financing wholesale loans to dealers to finance the purchase of vehicle inventory, also known as
  floorplan financing, and loans to dealers to finance working capital and improvements to dealership facilities,
  finance the purchase of dealership real estate and other dealer program financing. Wholesale is approximately
  95% of our dealer financing.
- Other financing purchased receivables from Ford and its affiliates, primarily related to the sale of parts and
  accessories to dealers, receivables from Ford related loans, and certain used vehicles from daily rental fleet
  companies. These receivables are excluded from our credit quality reporting since the performance of this group
  of receivables is generally guaranteed by Ford.

Notes and accounts receivable from affiliated companies are presented separately on the balance sheet. These receivables are based on intercompany relationships and the balances are settled regularly. We do not assess these receivables for potential credit losses, nor are they subjected to aging analysis, credit quality reviews, or other formal assessments. As a result, Notes and accounts receivable from affiliated companies are not subject to the following disclosures contained herein.

#### **NOTE 2. FINANCE RECEIVABLES (Continued)**

#### Finance Receivables, Net

Finance receivables, net were as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2013							December 31, 2012						
		North America		International		Total Finance Receivables		North America		International		al Finance ceivables		
Consumer														
Retail financing, gross (a)	\$	39,485	\$	9,115	\$	48,600	\$	39,504	\$	8,964	\$	48,468		
Less: Unearned interest supplements (b)		(1,195)		(226)		(1,421)		(1,264)		(222)		(1,486)		
Consumer finance receivables	\$	38,290	\$	8,889	\$	47,179	\$	38,240	\$	8,742	\$	46,982		
Non-Consumer														
Dealer financing (a)(c)	\$	20,227	\$	8,005	\$	28,232	\$	19,494	\$	7,496	\$	26,990		
Other		978		398		1,376		1,072		404		1,476		
Non-Consumer finance receivables		21,205		8,403		29,608		20,566		7,900		28,466		
Total recorded investment (d)	\$	59,495	\$	17,292	\$	76,787	\$	58,806	\$	16,642	\$	75,448		
Recorded investment in finance receivables (d)	\$	59,495	\$	17,292	\$	76,787	\$	58,806	\$	16,642	\$	75,448		
Less: Allowance for credit losses (e)		(276)		(77)		(353)		(309)		(76)		(385)		
Finance receivables, net	\$	59,219	\$	17,215	\$	76,434	\$	58,497	\$	16,566	\$	75,063		
Net finance receivables subject to fair value (f)					\$	75,483					\$	74,171		
Fair value						77,086						76,171		

<sup>(</sup>a) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes North America consumer receivables of \$21.4 billion and \$23.0 billion and non-consumer receivables of \$16.9 billion and \$17.1 billion, respectively, and International consumer receivables of \$5.5 billion and \$6.3 billion and non-consumer receivables of \$4.9 billion and \$4.5 billion, respectively, that have been sold for legal purposes in securitization transactions but continue to be reported in our consolidated financial statements. The receivables are available only for payment of the debt and other obligations issued or arising in the securitization transactions; they are not available to pay our other obligations or the claims of our other creditors. We hold the right to receive the excess cash flows not needed to pay the debt and other obligations issued or arising in securitization transactions. See Note 5 for additional information.

- (b) Ford-sponsored special-rate financing attributable to retail financing.
- (c) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes \$60 million and \$50 million, respectively, of North America wholesale receivables and \$15 million and \$15 million, respectively, of North America dealer loans with entities (primarily dealers) that are reported as consolidated subsidiaries of Ford. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes \$416 million and \$346 million, respectively, of International wholesale receivables with entities (primarily dealers) that are reported as consolidated subsidiaries of Ford. The associated vehicles that are being financed by us are reported as inventory on Ford's balance sheet.
- (d) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, excludes \$186 million and \$183 million, respectively, of accrued uncollected interest receivables, which we report in *Other assets* on our balance sheet.
- (e) See Note 4 for additional information related to our allowance for credit losses.
- (f) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, excludes \$951 million and \$892 million, respectively, of certain receivables (primarily direct financing leases) that are not subject to fair value disclosure requirements. All finance receivables are categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. See Note 12 for additional information.

#### **NOTE 2. FINANCE RECEIVABLES (Continued)**

#### **Aging**

For all classes of finance receivables, we define "past due" as any payment, including principal and interest, that has not been collected and is at least 31 days past the contractual due date. Recorded investment of consumer accounts greater than 90 days past due and still accruing interest was \$12 million and \$13 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The recorded investment of non-consumer accounts that are 90 days past due and still accruing interest was \$2 million and \$5 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The aging analysis of our finance receivables balances was as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2013						December 31, 2012							
	North merica	Inte	ernational		Total	North America		Inte	ernational		Total			
Consumer	 													
31-60 Days past due	\$ 583	\$	34	\$	617	\$	783	\$	46	\$	829			
61-90 Days past due	57		16		73		97		17		114			
91-120 Days past due	16		9		25		21		9		30			
Greater than 120 days past due	41		27		68		52		29		81			
Total past due	697		86		783		953		101		1,054			
Current	37,593		8,803		46,396		37,287		8,641		45,928			
Consumer finance receivables	\$ 38,290	\$	8,889	\$	47,179	\$	38,240	\$	8,742	\$	46,982			
Non-Consumer														
Total past due	\$ 12	\$	8	\$	20	\$	29	\$	11	\$	40			
Current	21,193		8,395		29,588		20,537		7,889		28,426			
Non-Consumer finance receivables	21,205		8,403		29,608		20,566		7,900		28,466			
Total recorded investment	\$ 59,495	\$	17,292	\$	76,787	\$	58,806	\$	16,642	\$	75,448			

#### **Credit Quality**

Consumer. When originating all classes of consumer receivables, we use a proprietary scoring system that measures the credit quality of the receivables using several factors, such as credit bureau information, consumer credit risk scores (e.g., FICO score), and contract characteristics. In addition to our proprietary scoring system, we consider other individual consumer factors, such as employment history, financial stability, and capacity to pay.

Subsequent to origination, we review the credit quality of our retail and direct financing lease receivables based on customer payment activity. As each customer develops a payment history, we use an internally-developed behavioral scoring model to assist in determining the best collection strategies. Based on data from this scoring model, contracts are categorized by collection risk. Our collection models evaluate several factors, including origination characteristics, updated credit bureau data, and payment patterns. These models allow for more focused collection activity on higher-risk accounts and are used to refine our risk-based staffing model to ensure collection resources are aligned with portfolio risk.

Credit quality ratings for our consumer receivables are based on our aging analysis. Refer to aging table above. Consumer receivables credit quality ratings are as follows:

- Pass current to 60 days past due
- Special Mention 61 to 120 days past due and in intensified collection status
- Substandard greater than 120 days past due and for which the uncollectible portion of the receivables has already been charged-off, as measured using the fair value of collateral

#### **NOTE 2. FINANCE RECEIVABLES (Continued)**

Non-Consumer. We extend credit to dealers primarily in the form of lines of credit to purchase new Ford and Lincoln vehicles as well as used vehicles. Each non-consumer lending request is evaluated by taking into consideration the borrower's financial condition and the underlying collateral securing the loan. We use a proprietary model to assign each dealer a risk rating. This model uses historical performance data to identify key factors about a dealer that we consider significant in predicting a dealer's ability to meet its financial obligations. We also consider numerous other financial and qualitative factors of the dealer's operations including capitalization and leverage, liquidity and cash flow, profitability, and credit history with Ford Credit and other creditors. A dealer's risk rating does not reflect any guarantees or a dealer owner's net worth.

Dealers are assigned to one of four groups according to risk ratings as follows:

- Group I strong to superior financial metrics
- Group II fair to favorable financial metrics
- Group III marginal to weak financial metrics
- Group IV poor financial metrics, including dealers classified as uncollectible

We suspend credit lines and extend no further funding to dealers classified in Group IV.

We regularly review our model to confirm the continued business significance and statistical predictability of the factors and update the model to incorporate new factors or other information that improves its statistical predictability. In addition, we verify the existence of the assets collateralizing the receivables by physical audits of vehicle inventories, which are performed with increased frequency for higher-risk (i.e., Group III and Group IV) dealers. We perform a credit review of each dealer at least annually and adjust the dealer's risk rating, if necessary.

Performance of non-consumer receivables is evaluated based on our internal dealer risk rating analysis, as payment for wholesale receivables generally is not required until the dealer has sold the vehicle. A dealer has the same risk rating for all of its dealer financing regardless of the type of financing.

The credit quality analysis of our dealer financing receivables was as follows (in millions):

	Jı	une 30, 2013					December 31, 2012					
North America	lr	International		Total		North America		International		Total		
\$ 16,81	6 \$	5,311	\$	22,127	\$	16,591	\$	4,822	\$	21,413		
3,00	6	1,579		4,585		2,608		1,390		3,998		
38	0	1,094		1,474		277		1,277		1,554		
2	5	21		46		18		7		25		
\$ 20,22	7 \$	8,005	\$	28,232	\$	19,494	\$	7,496	\$	26,990		
	\$ 16,810 3,000 380 25	North America I  \$ 16,816 \$ 3,006 \$ 380 \$ 25	America     International       \$ 16,816     \$ 5,311       3,006     1,579       380     1,094       25     21	North America         International           \$ 16,816         \$ 5,311         \$ 3,006           \$ 380         1,094           25         21	North America         International         Total           \$ 16,816         \$ 5,311         \$ 22,127           3,006         1,579         4,585           380         1,094         1,474           25         21         46	North America         International         Total           \$ 16,816         \$ 5,311         \$ 22,127         \$ 3,006         1,579         4,585           380         1,094         1,474         46         46	North America         International         Total         North America           \$ 16,816         \$ 5,311         \$ 22,127         \$ 16,591           3,006         1,579         4,585         2,608           380         1,094         1,474         277           25         21         46         18	North America         International         Total         North America         International           \$ 16,816         \$ 5,311         \$ 22,127         \$ 16,591         \$ 3,006         1,579         4,585         2,608           380         1,094         1,474         277         25         21         46         18	North America         International         Total         North America         International           \$ 16,816         \$ 5,311         \$ 22,127         \$ 16,591         \$ 4,822           3,006         1,579         4,585         2,608         1,390           380         1,094         1,474         277         1,277           25         21         46         18         7	North America         International         Total         North America         International           \$ 16,816         \$ 5,311         \$ 22,127         \$ 16,591         \$ 4,822         \$ 3,006           \$ 3,006         \$ 1,579         \$ 4,585         \$ 2,608         \$ 1,390           \$ 380         \$ 1,094         \$ 1,474         \$ 277         \$ 1,277           \$ 25         \$ 21         \$ 46         \$ 18         \$ 7		

#### **NOTE 2. FINANCE RECEIVABLES (Continued)**

#### Impaired Receivables

Impaired consumer receivables include accounts that have been re-written or modified in reorganization proceedings pursuant to the U.S. Bankruptcy Code that are considered to be Troubled Debt Restructurings ("TDRs"), as well as all accounts greater than 120 days past due. Impaired non-consumer receivables represent accounts with dealers that have weak or poor financial metrics or dealer financing that have been modified in TDRs. The recorded investment of consumer receivables that were impaired at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$420 million, or 0.9% of consumer receivables, and \$422 million, or 0.9% of consumer receivables, respectively. The recorded investment of non-consumer receivables that were impaired at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$67 million, or 0.2% of non-consumer receivables, and \$47 million, or 0.2% of non-consumer receivables, respectively. Impaired finance receivables are evaluated both collectively and specifically. See Note 4 for additional information related to the development of our allowance for credit losses.

#### **Non-Accrual Receivables**

The accrual of revenue is discontinued at the earlier of the time a receivable is determined to be uncollectible, at bankruptcy status notification, or greater than 120 days past due. Accounts may be restored to accrual status only when a customer settles all past-due deficiency balances and future payments are reasonably assured. For receivables in non-accrual status, subsequent financing revenue is recognized only to the extent a payment is received. Payments are generally applied first to outstanding interest and then to the unpaid principal balance.

The recorded investment of consumer receivables in non-accrual status was \$265 million, or 0.6% of our consumer receivables, at June 30, 2013, and \$304 million, or 0.6% of our consumer receivables, at December 31, 2012. The recorded investment of non-consumer receivables in non-accrual status was \$36 million, or 0.1% of our non-consumer receivables, at June 30, 2013, and \$29 million, or 0.1% of our non-consumer receivables, at December 31, 2012.

#### **Troubled Debt Restructurings**

A restructuring of debt constitutes a TDR if we grant a concession to a borrower for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties that we otherwise would not consider. Consumer and non-consumer contracts that have a modified interest rate that is below the market rate and those modified in reorganization proceedings pursuant to the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, except non-consumer loans that are current with minimal risk of loss, are considered to be TDRs. We do not grant concessions on the principal balance of our loans. If a contract is modified in a reorganization proceeding, all payment requirements of the reorganization plan need to be met before remaining balances are forgiven. The outstanding recorded investment at time of modification for consumer receivables that are considered to be TDRs was \$109 million, or 0.2% and \$123 million, or 0.3% of our consumer receivables, during the periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The annualized subsequent default rate of TDRs that were previously modified in TDRs within the last twelve months and resulted in repossession for consumer contracts was 6.0% and 5.9% of TDRs at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There were no non-consumer loans involved in TDRs during the period ended June 30, 2013 and the outstanding recorded investment of non-consumer loans involved in TDRs was de minimis during the period ended June 30, 2012.

Finance receivables involved in TDRs are specifically assessed for impairment. An impairment charge is recorded as part of the provision to the allowance for credit losses for the amount that the recorded investment of the receivable exceeds its estimated fair value. Estimated fair value is based on either the present value of the expected future cash flows of the receivable discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate, or for loans where foreclosure is probable, the fair value of the collateral adjusted for estimated costs to sell. The allowance for credit losses related to all active consumer TDRs was \$22 million and \$17 million at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The allowance for credit losses related to all active non-consumer TDRs was de minimis at June 30, 2013 and 2012.

#### NOTE 3. NET INVESTMENT IN OPERATING LEASES

*Net investment in operating leases* consist primarily of lease contracts for vehicles with retail customers, daily rental companies, government entities, and fleet customers with terms of 60 months or less.

Net investment in operating leases were as follows (in millions):

	J	lune 30, 2013	Dec	ember 31, 2012
Vehicles, at cost, including initial direct costs	\$	20,652	\$	17,510
Less: Accumulated depreciation		(3,060)		(2,786)
Net investment in operating leases before allowance for credit losses (a)		17,592		14,724
Less: Allowance for credit losses		(23)		(23)
Net investment in operating leases	\$	17,569	\$	14,701

<sup>(</sup>a) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes net investment in operating leases of \$6.3 billion that have been included in securitization transactions but continue to be reported in our consolidated financial statements. These net investment in operating leases are available only for payment of the debt and other obligations issued or arising in the securitization transactions; they are not available to pay our other obligations or the claims of our other creditors. We hold the right to receive the excess cash flows not needed to pay the debt and other obligations issued or arising in securitization transactions. See Note 5 for additional information.

#### **NOTE 4. ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES**

Following is an analysis of the allowance for credit losses related to finance receivables and net investment in operating leases for the periods ended June 30 (in millions):

	Second Quarter 2013												
	 Finance Receivables Net Investment in												
	Consumer	Non-Consumer			Total		iting Leases	Total Allowance					
Allowance for credit losses													
Beginning balance	\$ 340	\$	27	\$	367	\$	22	\$	389				
Charge-offs	(61)		(9)		(70)		(15)		(85)				
Recoveries	38		2		40		13		53				
Provision for credit losses	5		11		16		4		20				
Other (a)	_		_		_		(1)		(1)				
Ending balance	\$ 322	\$	31	\$	353	\$	23	\$	376				

				Fi	irst Half 2013				
		Fina	nce Receivables				t Investment in		
	 Consumer	N	on-Consumer	_	Total	Operating Lease		Tc	otal Allowance
Allowance for credit losses									
Beginning balance	\$ 356	\$	29	\$	385	\$	23	\$	408
Charge-offs	(140)		(10)		(150)		(30)		(180)
Recoveries	76		3		79		24		103
Provision for credit losses	33		9		42		7		49
Other (a)	(3)		_		(3)		(1)		(4)
Ending balance	\$ 322	\$	31	\$	353	\$	23	\$	376
Analysis of ending balance of allowance for credit losses									
Collective impairment allowance	\$ 300	\$	27	\$	327	\$	23	\$	350
Specific impairment allowance	22		4		26		_		26
Ending balance	\$ 322	\$	31	\$	353	\$	23	\$	376
Analysis of ending balance of finance receivables and net investment in operating leases									
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 46,759	\$	29,541	\$	76,300	\$	17,592		
Specifically evaluated for impairment	420		67		487		_		
Recorded investment (b)	\$ 47,179	\$	29,608	\$	76,787	\$	17,592		
							4= ===		
Ending balance, net of allowance for credit losses	\$ 46,857	\$	29,577	\$	76,434	\$	17,569		

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents amounts related to translation adjustments.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents finance receivables and net investment in operating leases before allowance for credit losses.

Allowance for credit losses

Beginning balance

Charge-offs

Recoveries

#### FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

418 \$

(65)

46

28 \$

(3)

2

Consumer

\$

#### NOTE 4. ALLOWANCE FOR CREDIT LOSSES (Continued)

Sec	ond Quarter 20	712
Finance Receivables		Net Investment in
Non-Consumer	Total	Operating Leases

446

(68)

48

**Total Allowance** 

479

(79)

62

33 \$

(11)

14

Provision for credit losses	(34)		(8)		(42)		(9)		(51)
Other (a)	(4)		_		(4)		(1)		(5)
Ending balance	\$ 361	\$	19	\$	380	\$	26	\$	406
				Fi	rst Half 2012				
			nce Receivables				Investment in		
	 Consumer	N	on-Consumer	_	Total	Ope	erating Leases	Tota	I Allowance
Allowance for credit losses									
Beginning balance	\$ 451	\$	43	\$	494	\$	40	\$	534
Charge-offs	(149)		(7)		(156)		(24)		(180)
Recoveries	93		7		100		28		128
Provision for credit losses	(33)		(25)		(58)		(17)		(75)
Other (a)	(1)		1		_		(1)		(1)
Ending balance	\$ 361	\$	19	\$	380	\$	26	\$	406
Analysis of ending balance of allowance for credit losses									
Collective impairment allowance	\$ 344	\$	18	\$	362	\$	26	\$	388
Specific impairment allowance	17		1		18		_		18
Ending balance	\$ 361	\$	19	\$	380	\$	26	\$	406
Analysis of ending balance of finance receivables and net investment in operating leases									
Collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 45,244	\$	25,687	\$	70,931	\$	12,958		
Specifically evaluated for impairment	398		81		479		_		
Recorded investment (b)	\$ 45,642	\$	25,768	\$	71,410	\$	12,958		
Ending balance, net of allowance for credit losses	\$ 45,281	\$	25,749	\$	71,030	\$	12,932		

Represents amounts related to translation adjustments.

Represents finance receivables and net investment in operating leases before allowance for credit losses.

#### **NOTE 5. TRANSFERS OF RECEIVABLES**

We securitize finance receivables and net investment in operating leases through a variety of programs using amortizing, variable funding and revolving structures. We also sell finance receivables in structured financing transactions. Due to the similarities between securitization and structured financing, we refer to structured financings as securitization transactions. Our securitization programs are targeted to many different institutional investors in both public and private transactions in capital markets primarily in North America and Europe.

We engage in securitization transactions to fund operations and to maintain liquidity. Our securitization transactions are recorded as asset-backed debt and the associated assets are not derecognized and continue to be included in our financial statements.

The finance receivables and net investment in operating leases that have been included in securitization transactions are available only for payment of the debt and other obligations issued or arising in the securitization transactions. They are not available to pay our other obligations or the claims of our other creditors. We hold the right to the excess cash flows not needed to pay the debt and other obligations issued or arising in the securitization transactions. The debt is the obligation of our consolidated securitization entities and not the obligation of Ford Credit or our other subsidiaries.

Most of these securitization transactions utilize VIEs. See Note 6 for more information concerning VIEs. The following tables show the assets and debt related to our securitization transactions that were included in our financial statements (in billions):

	 June 30, 2013  Finance Receivables and Net Investment in												
		F			and Net Iı Leases (a		ent in						
	ind Cash valents	Before Allowance for Credit Losses		Allowance for Credit Losses		After Allowance for Credit Losses		Rela	ted Debt				
VIE (b)													
Retail (c)	\$ 2.1	\$	24.3	\$	0.2	\$	24.1	\$	21.3				
Wholesale	 0.3		20.8		_		20.8		13.8				
Finance receivables	 2.4		45.1		0.2		44.9		35.1				
Net investment in operating leases	0.4		6.3		_		6.3		4.3				
Total VIE	\$ 2.8	\$	51.4	\$	0.2	\$	51.2	\$	39.4				
Non-VIE													
Retail (c)	\$ 0.2	\$	2.6	\$	_	\$	2.6	\$	2.4				
Wholesale	_		1.0		_		1.0		0.9				
Finance receivables	0.2		3.6		_		3.6		3.3				
Net investment in operating leases	_		_		_		_		_				
Total Non-VIE	\$ 0.2	\$	3.6	\$	_	\$	3.6	\$	3.3				
Total securitization transactions													
Retail (c)	\$ 2.3	\$	26.9	\$	0.2	\$	26.7	\$	23.7				
Wholesale	0.3		21.8		_		21.8		14.7				
Finance receivables	2.6		48.7		0.2		48.5		38.4				
Net investment in operating leases	0.4		6.3		_		6.3		4.3				
Total securitization transactions	\$ 3.0	\$	55.0	\$	0.2	\$	54.8	\$	42.7				

<sup>(</sup>a) Unearned interest supplements are excluded from securitization transactions.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes assets to be used to settle the liabilities of the consolidated VIEs.

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes direct financing leases.

#### **NOTE 5. TRANSFERS OF RECEIVABLES (Continued)**

	December 31, 2012													
			Finance Rec C		s and Net li g Leases (a		tment in							
	and Cash valents	A fe	Before Ilowance or Credit Losses	Allowance for Credit Losses		After Allowance for Credit Losses		Rel	ated Debt					
VIE (b)														
Retail (c)	\$ 2.2	\$	26.9	\$	0.2	\$	26.7	\$	23.2					
Wholesale	 0.3		20.5				20.5		12.8					
Finance receivables	2.5		47.4		0.2		47.2		36.0					
Net investment in operating leases	 0.4		6.3				6.3		4.2					
Total VIE	\$ 2.9	\$	53.7	\$	0.2	\$	53.5	\$	40.2					
Non-VIE														
Retail (c)	\$ 0.1	\$	2.4	\$	_	\$	2.4	\$	2.2					
Wholesale	_		1.1		_		1.1		1.0					
Finance receivables	 0.1		3.5				3.5		3.2					
Net investment in operating leases	 _		_		_		_		_					
Total Non-VIE	\$ 0.1	\$	3.5	\$		\$	3.5	\$	3.2					
Total securitization transactions														
Retail (c)	\$ 2.3	\$	29.3	\$	0.2	\$	29.1	\$	25.4					
Wholesale	 0.3		21.6		_		21.6		13.8					
Finance receivables	 2.6		50.9		0.2		50.7		39.2					
Net investment in operating leases	 0.4		6.3		_		6.3		4.2					
Total securitization transactions	\$ 3.0	\$	57.2	\$	0.2	\$	57.0	\$	43.4					

<sup>(</sup>a) Unearned interest supplements are excluded from securitization transactions.

Interest expense related to securitization debt for the periods ended June 30 was as follows (in millions):

		Second	Qua	rter				
		2013	2012			2013	2012	
VIE	\$	145	\$	201	\$	296	\$	428
Non-VIE		18		23		35		50
Total securitization transactions	\$	163	\$	224	\$	331	\$	478

Certain of our securitization entities enter into derivative transactions to mitigate interest rate exposure, primarily resulting from fixed-rate assets securing floating-rate debt and, in certain instances, currency exposure resulting from assets in one currency and debt in another currency. In many instances, the counterparty enters into offsetting derivative transactions with us to mitigate their interest rate risk resulting from derivatives with our securitization entities. See Note 7 for additional information regarding the accounting for derivatives. Our exposures based on the fair value of derivative instruments with external counterparties related to securitization programs were as follows (in millions):

		June 30	0, 2013		Decemb	er 31	, 2012	
	Deriva Ass		Derivative Liability		Derivative Asset	Derivative Liability		
Derivatives of the VIEs	\$	36	\$	40	\$ 4	\$	134	
Derivatives related to the VIEs		39		40	74		63	
Other securitization related derivatives		6		8	11		22	
Total exposures related to securitization	\$	81	\$	88	\$ 89	\$	219	

b) Includes assets to be used to settle the liabilities of the consolidated VIEs.

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes direct financing leases.

#### NOTE 5. TRANSFERS OF RECEIVABLES (Continued)

Derivative expense/(income) related to our securitization transactions for the periods ended June 30 was as follows (in millions):

		Second (	Quar	First Half					
	20	013		2012		2013		2012	
VIEs	\$	(1)	\$	56	\$	(93)	\$	151	
Related to the VIEs		7		(27)		10		(15)	
Other securitization related derivatives		(2)		6		(1)		12	
Total derivative expense/(income) related to securitization	\$	4	\$	35	\$	(84)	\$	148	

#### **NOTE 6. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES**

A VIE is an entity that either (i) has insufficient equity to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or (ii) has equity investors who lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. A VIE is consolidated by its primary beneficiary. The primary beneficiary has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Nearly all of our VIEs are special purpose entities used for our securitizations.

We have the power to direct the activities of our special purpose entities when we have the ability to exercise discretion in the servicing of financial assets, issue additional debt, exercise a unilateral call option, add assets to revolving structures, or control investment decisions.

Assets recognized as a result of consolidating these VIEs do not represent additional assets that could be used to satisfy claims against our general assets. Conversely, liabilities recognized as a result of consolidating these VIEs do not represent additional claims on our general assets; rather, they represent claims against the specific assets of the consolidated VIEs.

#### VIEs of Which We are the Primary Beneficiary

We use special purpose entities to issue asset-backed securities in transactions to public and private investors, bank conduits, and government-sponsored entities or others who obtain funding from government programs. We have deemed most of these special purpose entities to be VIEs. The asset-backed securities are secured by finance receivables and interests in net investments in operating leases. The assets continue to be consolidated by us. We retain interests in our securitization VIEs, including subordinated securities issued by the VIEs, rights to cash held for the benefit of the securitization investors, and rights to the excess cash flows not needed to pay the debt and other obligations issued or arising in the securitization transactions.

The transactions create and pass along risks to the variable interest holders, depending on the assets securing the debt and the specific terms of the transactions. We aggregate and analyze the asset-backed securitization transactions based on the risk profile of the product and the type of funding structure, including:

- Retail consumer credit risk and pre-payment risk
- Wholesale dealer credit risk and Ford risk, as the receivables owned by the VIEs primarily arise from the financing provided by us to Ford-franchised dealers; therefore, the collections depend upon the sale of Ford vehicles
- Net investment in operating leases vehicle residual value risk, consumer credit risk, and pre-payment risk

As residual interest holder, we are exposed to the underlying residual and credit risk of the collateral, and are exposed to interest rate risk in some transactions. The amount of risk absorbed by our residual interests generally is represented by and limited to the amount of overcollateralization of the assets securing the debt and any cash reserves.

#### NOTE 6. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES (Continued)

We have no obligation to repurchase or replace any securitized asset that subsequently becomes delinquent in payment or otherwise is in default, except under standard representations and warranties such as good and marketable title to the assets, or when certain changes are made to the underlying asset contracts. Securitization investors have no recourse to us or our other assets and have no right to require us to repurchase the investments. We generally have no obligation to provide liquidity or contribute cash or additional assets to the VIEs and do not guarantee any asset-backed securities. We may be required to support the performance of certain securitization transactions, however, by increasing cash reserves.

VIEs that are exposed to interest rate or currency risk have reduced their risks by entering into derivative transactions. In certain instances, we have entered into offsetting derivative transactions with the VIE to protect the VIE from the risks that are not mitigated through the derivative transactions between the VIE and its external counterparty. In other instances, we have entered into derivative transactions with the counterparty to protect the counterparty from risks absorbed through their derivative transactions with the VIEs.

Although not contractually required, we regularly support our wholesale securitization programs by repurchasing receivables of a dealer from a VIE when the dealer's performance is at risk, which transfers the corresponding risk of loss from the VIE to us. In order to continue to fund the wholesale receivables, we also may contribute additional cash or wholesale receivables if the collateral falls below the required levels. The balances of cash related to these contributions were \$7 million and \$0 at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and ranged from \$0 to \$70 million during the first half of 2013. In addition, while not contractually required, we may purchase the commercial paper issued by our FCAR Owner Trust asset-backed commercial paper program.

See Note 5 for information on the financial position and financial performance of our VIEs and Notes 7 and 12 for additional information regarding derivatives.

#### VIEs of Which We are Not the Primary Beneficiary

We have an investment in Forso Nordic AB, a joint venture determined to be a VIE of which we are not the primary beneficiary. The joint venture provides consumer and dealer financing in its local markets and is financed by external debt and additional subordinated debt provided by the joint venture partner. The operating agreement indicates that the power to direct economically significant activities is shared with the joint venture partner, and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits resides primarily with the joint venture partner. Our investment in the joint venture is accounted for as an equity method investment and is included in *Other assets*. Our maximum exposure to any potential losses associated with this VIE is limited to our equity investment and amounted to \$65 million and \$71 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

#### NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

In the normal course of business, our operations are exposed to global market risks, including the effect of changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. To manage these risks, we enter into various derivative contracts:

- Interest rate contracts including swaps, caps and floors that are used to manage the effects of interest rate fluctuations;
- Foreign currency exchange contracts that are used to manage foreign exchange exposure; and
- Cross-currency interest rate swap contracts that are used to manage foreign currency and interest rate exposures
  on foreign-denominated debt.

Our derivatives are over-the-counter customized derivative transactions and are not exchange traded. We review our hedging program, derivative positions, and overall risk management strategy on a regular basis.

We have elected to apply hedge accounting to certain derivatives. Derivatives that are designated in hedging relationships are evaluated for effectiveness using regression analysis at the time they are designated and throughout the hedge period. Cash flows and the profit impact associated with designated hedges are reported in the same category as the underlying hedged item.

Some derivatives do not qualify for hedge accounting; for others, we elect not to apply hedge accounting. Regardless, we only enter into transactions that we believe will be highly effective at offsetting the underlying economic risk. We report net interest settlements and accruals and changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated as hedging instruments through *Other income*, *net*. Cash flows associated with non-designated or de-designated derivatives are reported in *Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities* in our statement of cash flows.

Fair Value Hedges. We use derivatives to reduce the risk of changes in the fair value of debt. We have designated certain receive-fixed, pay-float interest rate swaps as fair value hedges of fixed-rate debt. The risk being hedged is the risk of changes in the fair value of the hedged debt attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate. If the hedge relationship is deemed to be highly effective, we record the changes in the fair value of the hedged debt related to the risk being hedged in *Debt* with the offset in *Other income*, *net*. The change in fair value of the related derivative (excluding accrued interest) also is recorded in *Other income*, *net*.

Net interest settlements and accruals on fair value hedges are excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. We report net interest settlements and accruals in *Interest expense*. We report foreign currency revaluation on accrued interest in *Other income*, net. The cash flows associated with fair value hedges are reported in *Net cash provided by/* (used in) operating activities in our statement of cash flows.

When a fair value hedge is de-designated, or when the derivative is terminated before maturity, the fair value adjustment to the hedged debt continues to be reported as part of the carrying value of the debt and is amortized over its remaining life.

#### NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)

#### **Income Effect of Derivative Financial Instruments**

The following table summarizes by hedge designation the pre-tax gains/(losses) recognized in income for the periods ended June 30 (in millions):

		Second	Qua	rter	First	<u> </u>	
	2013			2012	2013		2012
Fair value hedges							
Interest rate contracts							
Net interest settlements and accruals excluded from the assessment of hedge effectiveness	\$	62	\$	41	\$ 123	\$	82
Ineffectiveness (a)		(24)		1	(30)		2
Total	\$	38	\$	42	\$ 93	\$	84
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments							
Interest rate contracts	\$	(4)	\$	(5)	\$ (2)	\$	(14)
Foreign currency exchange contracts (b)		8		5	83		(57)
Cross-currency interest rate swap contracts (b)		(44)		_	94		(48)
Other (c)		_		(43)	_		(81)
Total	\$	(40)	\$	(43)	\$ 175	\$	(200)

<sup>(</sup>a) For the second quarter of 2013 and 2012, hedge ineffectiveness reflects change in fair value on derivatives of \$477 million loss and \$238 million gain, respectively, and change in value on hedged debt attributable to the change in benchmark interest rate of \$453 million gain and \$237 million loss, respectively. For the first half of 2013 and 2012, hedge ineffectiveness reflects change in fair value on derivatives of \$568 million loss and \$158 million gain, respectively, and change in value on hedged debt attributable to the change in benchmark interest rate of \$538 million gain and \$156 million loss, respectively.

#### **Balance Sheet Effect of Derivative Financial Instruments**

The following table summarizes the notional amount and estimated fair value of our derivative financial instruments (in millions):

		June	30, 2013	3			Dec	December 31, 2012			
Notional		Value		Fair Value of Liabilities		Notional		Value		Val	air ue of ilities
\$	19,315	\$	434	\$	168	\$	16,754	\$	787	\$	8
	62,675		310		148		68,622		460		248
	1,357		14		6		1,987		9		27
	3,119		26		58		3,006		_		117
	67,151		350		212		73,615		469		392
\$	86,466	\$	784	\$	380	\$	90,369	\$	1,256	\$	400
	_	Notional \$ 19,315 62,675 1,357 3,119 67,151	Notional Va As  \$ 19,315 \$  62,675 1,357 3,119 67,151	Notional         Fair Value of Assets           \$ 19,315         \$ 434           62,675         310           1,357         14           3,119         26           67,151         350	Notional         Value of Assets         Value of Liab           \$ 19,315         \$ 434         \$           62,675         310         1,357         14           3,119         26         67,151         350	Notional         Fair Value of Assets         Fair Value of Liabilities           \$ 19,315         \$ 434         \$ 168           62,675         310         148           1,357         14         6           3,119         26         58           67,151         350         212	Notional         Fair Value of Assets         Fair Value of Liabilities         N           \$ 19,315         \$ 434         \$ 168         \$           62,675         310         148         1,357         14         6           3,119         26         58         67,151         350         212	Notional         Fair Value of Assets         Fair Value of Liabilities         Notional           \$ 19,315         \$ 434         \$ 168         \$ 16,754           62,675         310         148         68,622           1,357         14         6         1,987           3,119         26         58         3,006           67,151         350         212         73,615	Notional         Fair Value of Assets         Fair Value of Liabilities         Notional         Value of Assets           \$ 19,315         \$ 434         \$ 168         \$ 16,754         \$           62,675         310         148         68,622         68,6	Notional         Fair Value of Assets         Fair Value of Liabilities         Notional         Fair Value of Assets           \$ 19,315         \$ 434         \$ 168         \$ 16,754         \$ 787           62,675         310         148         68,622         460           1,357         14         6         1,987         9           3,119         26         58         3,006         —           67,151         350         212         73,615         469	Notional         Fair Value of Assets         Fair Value of Liabilities         Notional         Fair Value of Assets         Fair Value of Liabilities           \$ 19,315         \$ 434         \$ 168         \$ 16,754         \$ 787         \$           62,675         310         148         68,622         460

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes forward contracts between Ford Credit and an affiliated company.

<sup>(</sup>b) Gains/(Losses) related to foreign currency derivatives were mostly offset by net revaluation impacts on foreign denominated debt, which were also recorded in *Other income*, *net*.

<sup>(</sup>c) Reflects gains/(losses) for derivative features included in the Ford Upgrade Exchange Linked ("FUEL") notes (see Note 12).

#### NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES (Continued)

We report derivative assets and derivative liabilities in *Derivative financial instruments* in our balance sheet at fair value. We do not net our derivative position by counterparty for purposes of balance sheet presentation and disclosure. We do, however, consider our net position for determining fair value.

The notional amounts of the derivative financial instruments do not represent amounts exchanged by the parties and, therefore, are not a direct measure of our exposure to the financial risks described above. Notional amounts are presented on a gross basis with no netting of offsetting exposure positions. The amounts exchanged are calculated by reference to the notional amounts and by other terms of the derivatives, such as interest rates or foreign currency exchange rates.

#### **Counterparty Risk**

The use of derivatives exposes us to the risk that a counterparty may default on a derivative contract. We establish exposure limits for each counterparty to minimize this risk and provide counterparty diversification. Substantially all of our derivative exposures are with counterparties that have an investment grade rating. The aggregate fair value of derivative instruments in asset positions at June 30, 2013 was \$784 million, representing the maximum loss we would recognize at that date if all counterparties failed to perform as contracted. We enter into master agreements with counterparties that may allow for netting of exposures in the event of default or termination of the counterparty agreement due to breach of contract.

The gross and net amounts of derivative assets and liabilities were as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2013					December 31, 2012				
	Fair Value of Assets						r Value of Assets		Value of bilities	
Gross derivative amounts recognized in the balance sheet	\$	784	\$	380	\$	1,256	\$	400		
Gross derivative amounts not offset in the balance sheet that are eligible for offsetting		(278)		(278)		(225)		(225)		
Net amount	\$	506	\$	102	\$	1,031	\$	175		

We may receive or pledge cash collateral with certain counterparties based on our net position with regard to foreign currency derivative contracts. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we did not receive or pledge any cash collateral.

We include an adjustment for non-performance risk in the measurement of fair value of derivative instruments. Our adjustment for non-performance risk relative to a measure based on an unadjusted inter-bank deposit rate (e.g., LIBOR) decreased our derivative assets by \$5 million and \$14 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and decreased our derivative liabilities by \$25 million and \$5 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. See Note 12 for additional information regarding valuation methodologies.

#### NOTE 8. OTHER ASSETS AND OTHER LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INCOME

Other assets and other liabilities and deferred income consist of various balance sheet items that are combined for financial statement presentation due to their respective materiality compared with other individual asset and liability items.

Other assets were as follows (in millions):

	une 30, 2013	Decem 20	ber 31, 12
Accrued interest and other non-finance receivables	\$ 783	\$	719
Collateral held for resale, at net realizable value	237		332
Restricted cash (a)	164		148
Deferred charges	259		261
Deferred charges – income taxes	168		184
Prepaid reinsurance premiums and other reinsurance receivables	316		288
Investment in non-consolidated affiliates	126		140
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation (b)	119		125
Other	54		59
Total other assets	\$ 2,226	\$	2,256

<sup>(</sup>a) Restricted cash primarily includes cash held to meet certain local governmental and regulatory reserve requirements and cash held under the terms of certain contractual agreements. Restricted cash does not include required minimum balances or cash securing debt issued through securitization transactions.

Other liabilities and deferred income were as follows (in millions):

	June 30, 2013		mber 31, 2012
Interest payable	\$	594	\$ 706
Deferred interest supplements and residual support payments on net investment in operating leases		1,370	1,147
Income taxes payable to Ford and affiliated companies		720	549
Unrecognized tax benefits		170	462
Unearned insurance premiums		327	299
Other		498	295
Total other liabilities and deferred income	\$	3,679	\$ 3,458

In the first half of 2013 and in the year ended December 31, 2012, we paid \$288 million and \$99 million, respectively, to Ford in accordance with our intercompany tax sharing agreement which were reflected in *Other liabilities and deferred income*. The payment in the first half of 2013 reduced the liability for unrecognized tax benefits reflecting the settlement of tax deficiencies related to the 2008 and 2009 tax years.

#### NOTE 9. DEBT

We have a commercial paper program with qualified institutional investors. We also obtain other short-term funding from the issuance of demand notes to retail investors through our floating rate demand notes program. We have certain securitization programs that issue short-term asset-backed debt securities that are sold to institutional investors. Bank borrowings by several of our international affiliates in the ordinary course of business are an additional source of short-term funding. We obtain long-term debt funding through the issuance of a variety of unsecured and asset-backed debt securities in the U.S. and international capital markets.

Asset-backed debt issued in securitizations is the obligation of the consolidated securitization entity that issued the debt and is payable only out of collections on the underlying securitized assets and related enhancements. This asset-backed debt is not the obligation of Ford Credit or our other subsidiaries.

<sup>(</sup>b) Accumulated depreciation was \$340 million and \$331 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

#### NOTE 9. DEBT (Continued)

Interest rates and debt outstanding were as follows (in millions):

		Interest					
	Average Co	ntractual	Average E	ffective	De	ebt	
	2013	2012	2013	2012	June 30, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Short-term debt							
Asset-backed commercial paper	0.3%	0.4%			\$ 4,813	\$	5,752
Floating rate demand notes	1.1%	1.1%			5,183		4,890
Other asset-backed short-term debt	1.0%	1.1%			733		3,616
Commercial paper	1.2%	1.2%			1,535		1,686
Other short-term debt	4.8%	4.7%			1,237		1,434
Total short-term debt	1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	13,501		17,378
Long-term debt							
Senior indebtedness							
Notes payable within one year					5,967		5,557
Notes payable after one year					34,133		31,656
Asset-backed debt							
Notes payable within one year					16,544		13,788
Notes payable after one year					20,576		20,216
Unamortized discount					(109)		(128)
Fair value adjustments					210		791
Total long-term debt	3.4%	3.8%	3.5%	4.1%	77,321		71,880
Total debt	3.0%	3.3%	3.2%	3.5%	\$ 90,822	\$	89,258
Fair value					\$ 93,425	\$	92,799

With the exception of commercial paper, which is issued at a discount, the average contractual rates reflect the stated contractual interest rate. Average effective rates reflect the average contractual interest rate plus amortization of discounts, premiums, and issuance fees. Fair value adjustments relate to designated fair value hedges of unsecured debt.

The fair value of debt reflects interest accrued but not yet paid of \$596 million and \$708 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Interest accrued is reported in *Other liabilities and deferred income* for outside debt and *Accounts payable - affiliated companies* for debt with affiliated companies. The fair value of debt also includes \$8.0 billion of short-term debt at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, carried at cost which approximates fair value. All debt is categorized within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. See Note 12 for additional information.

Debt with affiliated companies included in the above table was as follows (in millions):

	June 201		nber 31, 012
Other short-term debt	\$	20	\$ 28
Notes payable within one year		8	4
Notes payable after one year		351	340
Total debt with affiliated companies	\$	379	\$ 372

Debt Repurchases and Calls. From time to time and based on market conditions, we may repurchase or call some of our outstanding unsecured and asset-backed debt. If we have excess liquidity and it is an economically favorable use of our available cash, we may repurchase or call debt at a price lower or higher than its carrying value, resulting in a gain or loss on extinguishment.

#### NOTE 9. DEBT (Continued)

In the second quarter and first half of 2013, through market transactions, we called an aggregate principal amount of \$130 million and \$163 million, respectively, (of which none were maturing in 2013) of our unsecured debt. As a result, in the second quarter and first half of 2013, we recorded a pre-tax loss of \$1 million, net of unamortized premiums, discounts, and fees in *Other income*, *net*. There were no repurchase or call transactions for asset-backed debt during the second guarter and first half of 2013.

In the second quarter and first half of 2012, through market transactions, we repurchased an aggregate principal amount of \$165 million (including \$37 million maturing in 2012) and \$215 million, respectively, of our unsecured and asset-backed debt. As a result, in the second quarter and first half of 2012, we recorded a pre-tax loss of \$6 million and \$9 million, respectively, net of unamortized premiums, discounts, and fees in *Other income*, *net*.

*Debt Maturities.* Short-term and long-term debt matures at various dates through 2048. At June 30, 2013, maturities were as follows (in millions):

	2	013 (a)	20	014 (b)		2015		2015		2015		2015		2016		2016		2017	Thereafter (c)		Total
Unsecured debt	\$	10,639	\$	5,122	\$	9,087	\$	7,317	\$	6,153	\$	9,737	\$ 48,055								
Asset-backed debt		13,365		14,786		7,798		4,221		1,818		678	42,666								
Total		24,004		19,908		16,885		11,538		7,971		10,415	90,721								
Unamortized discount													(109)								
Fair value adjustments													210								
Total debt													\$ 90,822								

a) Includes \$12,698 million for short-term and \$11,306 million for long-term debt.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes \$803 million for short-term and \$19,105 million for long-term debt.

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes \$9,729 million of unsecured debt maturing between 2018 and 2023 with the remaining balance maturing after 2031.

#### NOTE 10. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The changes in the accumulated balance of AOCI for the periods ended June 30 were as follows (in millions):

	First Half				
	2013			2012	
Foreign currency translation					
Beginning balance	\$	743	\$	600	
Net gain/(loss) on foreign currency translation		(238)		(44)	
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax		(238)		(44)	
Ending balance	\$	505	\$	556	
Total AOCI ending balance at June 30	\$	505	\$	556	

#### **NOTE 11. OTHER INCOME**

Other income consists of various line items that are combined on the income statement due to their respective materiality compared with other individual income and expense items.

The amounts included in Other income, net were as follows for the periods ended June 30 (in millions):

	Second	Quar	ter	First Half				
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Gains/(Losses) on extinguishment of debt	\$ (1)	\$	(6)	\$	(1)	\$	(9)	
Gains/(Losses) on derivatives (a)	(64)		(42)		145		(198)	
Currency revaluation gains/(losses) (a)	37		(7)		(171)		88	
Interest and investment income	5		20		20		50	
Insurance fee income	14		13		30		29	
Other	55		79		100		162	
Total other income, net	\$ 46	\$	57	\$	123	\$	122	

<sup>(</sup>a) Currency revaluation gains/(losses) primarily related to foreign denominated debt were mostly offset by gains/(losses) on derivatives. See Note 7 for detail by derivative instrument and risk type.

#### **NOTE 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Cash equivalents, marketable securities, and derivative financial instruments are presented in our financial statements on a recurring basis at fair value, while other assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis such as when we have an asset impairment.

#### **Fair Value Measurements**

In measuring fair value, we use various valuation methodologies and prioritize the use of observable inputs. The use of observable and unobservable inputs and their significance in measuring fair value are reflected in our fair value hierarchy assessment.

- · Level 1 inputs include quoted prices for identical instruments and are the most observable
- Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar instruments and observable inputs such as interest rates, currency exchange rates, and yield curves
- Level 3 inputs include data not observable in the market and reflect management judgment about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the instruments

We review the inputs to the fair value measurements to ensure they are appropriately categorized within the fair value hierarchy. Transfers into and transfers out of the hierarchy levels are recognized as if they had taken place at the end of the reporting period.

#### **Valuation Methodologies**

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Included in Cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash, and which are subject to an insignificant risk of change in value due to interest rate, quoted price, or penalty on withdrawal. A debt security is classified as a cash equivalent if it meets these criteria and if it has a remaining time to maturity of 90 days or less from the date of acquisition. Amounts on deposit and available upon demand, or negotiated to provide for daily liquidity without penalty, are classified as Cash and cash equivalents. Time deposits, certificates of deposit, and money market accounts that meet the above criteria are reported at par value on our balance sheet and are excluded from the tables below.

Marketable Securities. Investments in securities with a maturity date greater than 90 days at the date of purchase and other securities for which there is a more than an insignificant risk of change in value due to interest rate, quoted price, or penalty on withdrawal are classified as Marketable securities. We generally measure fair value using prices obtained from pricing services. Pricing methodologies and inputs to valuation models used by the pricing services depend on the security type (i.e., asset class). Where possible, fair values are generated using market inputs including quoted prices (the closing price in an exchange market), bid prices (the price at which a buyer stands ready to purchase), and other market information. For fixed income securities that are not actively traded, the pricing services use alternative methods to determine fair value for the securities, including: quotes for similar fixed-income securities, matrix pricing, discounted cash flow using benchmark curves, or other factors to determine fair value. In certain cases, when market data are not available, we may use broker quotes to determine fair value.

An annual review is performed on the security prices received from our pricing services, which includes discussion and analysis of the inputs used by the pricing services to value our securities. We also compare the price of certain securities sold close to the quarter end to the price of the same security at the balance sheet date to ensure the reported fair value is reasonable.

We have entered into repurchase agreements with certain counterparties where we are the transferee. These agreements allow us to offset our entire gross exposure in the event of default or breach of contract. The gross value of these assets and liabilities on our balance sheet at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 was \$90 million and \$25 million, respectively.

#### **NOTE 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)**

Derivative Financial Instruments. Our derivatives are over-the-counter customized derivative transactions and are not exchange traded. We estimate the fair value of these instruments using industry-standard valuation models such as a discounted cash flow. These models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using market-based expectations for interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and the contractual terms of the derivative instruments. The discount rate used is the relevant interbank deposit rate (e.g., LIBOR) plus an adjustment for non-performance risk. The adjustment reflects the full credit default swap ("CDS") spread applied to a net exposure, by counterparty, considering the master netting agreements. We use our counterparty's CDS spread when we are in a net asset position and our own CDS spread when we are in a net liability position.

Our two FUEL securitization transactions had derivative features which included a mandatory exchange to our unsecured notes when our senior unsecured debt received two investment grade credit ratings among Fitch, Moody's, and S&P, and a make-whole provision. We estimated the fair value of these features by comparing the fair value of the FUEL notes to the value of a hypothetical debt instrument without these features.

In the second quarter of 2012, we received two investment grade credit ratings thereby triggering the mandatory exchange feature and the FUEL derivatives were extinguished.

Finance Receivables. We measure finance receivables at fair value for purposes of disclosure using internal valuation models (see Note 2). These models project future cash flows of financing contracts based on scheduled contract payments (including principal and interest). The projected cash flows are discounted to present value based on assumptions regarding credit losses, pre-payment speed, and applicable spreads to approximate current rates. Our assumptions regarding pre-payment speed and credit losses are based on historical performance. The fair value of finance receivables is categorized within Level 3 of the hierarchy.

On a nonrecurring basis, when retail contracts are greater than 120 days past due or deemed to be uncollectible, or if individual dealer loans are probable of foreclosure, we use the fair value of collateral, adjusted for estimated costs to sell, to determine the fair value of our receivables. The collateral for a retail receivable is the vehicle financed, and for dealer loans is real estate or other property.

The fair value of collateral for retail receivables is calculated based on the number of contracts multiplied by the loss severity and the probability of default ("POD") percentage, or the outstanding receivable balances multiplied by the average recovery value ("ARV") percentage to determine the fair value adjustment.

The non-recurring fair value measurements for dealer loans are based on an assessment of the estimated fair value of collateral. The assessment is performed by reviewing various appraisals, which include total adjusted appraised value of land and improvements, alternate use appraised value, broker's opinion of value, and purchase offers. The fair value adjustment is determined by comparing the net carrying value of the dealer loan and the estimated fair value of collateral.

Debt. We measure debt at fair value for purposes of disclosure (see Note 9) using quoted prices for our own debt with approximately the same remaining maturities, where possible. Where quoted prices are not available, we estimate fair value using discounted cash flows and market-based expectations for interest rates, credit risk, and the contractual terms of the debt instruments. For certain short-term debt with an original maturity date of one year or less, we assume that book value is a reasonable approximation of debt's fair value. The fair value of debt is categorized within Level 2 of the hierarchy.

#### NOTE 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

#### Input Hierarchy of Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table categorizes the fair values of items measured at fair value on a recurring basis on our balance sheet, none of which are Level 3 (in millions):

		June 30, 2013					December 31, 2012					
	L	evel 1	L	evel 2		Total	Le	evel 1	L	evel 2	1	Γotal
Assets												
Cash equivalents-financial instruments												
U.S. government	\$	201	\$	_	\$	201	\$	200	\$	_	\$	200
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises		_		_						20		20
Corporate debt		_		_		_		_		1		1
Non-U.S. government		_		46		46		_		103		103
Total cash equivalents - financial instruments (a)		201		46		247		200		124		324
Marketable securities												
U.S. government		1,235		_		1,235		620		_		620
U.S. government-sponsored enterprises		_		400		400		_		12		12
Non-U.S. government agencies (b)		_		36		36		_		95		95
Corporate debt		_		1,249		1,249		_		1,155		1,155
Mortgage-backed and other asset-backed		_		56		56		_		67		67
Non-U.S. government		_		108		108		_		142		142
Other liquid investments (c)		_		_		_		_		15		15
Total marketable securities		1,235		1,849		3,084		620		1,486		2,106
Derivative financial instruments												
Interest rate contracts		_		744		744		_		1,247		1,247
Foreign currency exchange contracts		_		14		14		_		9		9
Cross-currency interest rate swap contracts		_		26		26		_		_		_
Total derivative financial instruments		_		784		784			_	1,256		1,256
Total assets at fair value	\$	1,436	\$	2,679	\$	4,115	\$	820	\$	2,866	\$	3,686
Liabilities												
Derivative financial instruments												
Interest rate contracts	\$	_	\$	316	\$	316	\$	_	\$	256	\$	256
Foreign currency exchange contracts		_		6		6		_		27		27
Cross-currency interest rate swap contracts		_		58		58		_		117		117
Total derivative financial instruments				380		380				400		400
Total liabilities at fair value	\$		\$	380	\$	380	\$		\$	400	\$	400
	<u> </u>		_		Ė				_		_	

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes time deposits, certificates of deposit, and money market accounts reported at par value on our balance sheet totaling \$4.9 billion and \$6.3 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. In addition to these cash equivalents, we also had cash on hand totaling \$2.3 billion and \$2.6 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes notes issued by non-U.S. government agencies, as well as notes issued by supranational institutions.

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes certificates of deposit and time deposits subject to changes in value.

#### NOTE 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

#### **Reconciliation of Changes in Level 3 Balances**

We did not have any Level 3 items measured at fair value for the periods ended June 30, 2013. The following table summarizes Level 3 changes for the derivative features included in the FUEL notes which were measured at fair value and reported on our balance sheet for the periods ended June 30, 2012 (in millions):

	Second Q 2012		rst Half 2012
Beginning balance	\$	99	\$ 137
Realized/unrealized gains/(losses) (a)		(43)	(81)
Settlements (b)		(56)	(56)
Ending balance	\$		\$ 
Unrealized gains/(losses) on instruments still held	\$		\$ _

<sup>(</sup>a) Reported in Other income, net.

#### Input Hierarchy of Items Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The following table summarizes the items measured at fair value subsequent to initial recognition on a nonrecurring basis, all of which are Level 3 (in millions):

	June 30, 2013		December 2012	· 31,
North America				
Retail receivables	\$	41	\$	52
Dealer loans		_		2
Total North America	\$	41	\$	54
International				
Retail receivables	\$	23	\$	26

<sup>(</sup>b) Reflects exchange of the FUEL notes to unsecured notes.

#### NOTE 12. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

#### **Nonrecurring Fair Value Changes**

The following table summarizes the total change in value of items for which a nonrecurring fair value adjustment has been included in our income statement for the periods ended June 30, related to items still held on our balance sheet at those dates (in millions):

	Total Gains/(Losses)											
		Second	Quarter	First Half								
		2013	2012	2013	2012							
North America												
Retail receivables	\$	(2)	\$ (7)	\$ (16)	\$ (15)							
Dealer loans		_	_	_	_							
Total North America	\$	(2)	\$ (7)	\$ (16)	\$ (15)							
International												
Retail receivables	\$	(2)	\$ (5)	\$ (5)	\$ (10)							

Fair value changes related to retail and dealer loan finance receivables that have been written down based on the fair value of collateral adjusted for estimated costs to sell are recorded in *Provision for credit losses*.

#### Information About Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)

The following table summarizes significant unobservable inputs and the variability of those inputs to alternate methodologies (in millions):

			June 3	0, 2013	December 31, 2012			
	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Fair Value Range		Fair Value	Fair Value Range		
Nonrecurring basis								
Retail receivables								
North America	Income Approach	POD percentage	\$41	\$28-\$41	\$52	\$38 - \$52		
International	Income Approach	ARV percentage	\$23	\$21-\$25	\$26	\$25 - \$27		
Dealer loans	Income Approach	Estimated fair value	<b>\$</b> —	\$0-\$0	\$2	\$1 - \$3		

#### **NOTE 13. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

We conduct our financing operations directly and indirectly through our subsidiaries and affiliates. We offer substantially similar products and services throughout many different regions, subject to local legal restrictions and market conditions. We divide our business segments based on geographic regions: the North America Segment (includes operations in the United States and Canada) and the International Segment (includes operations in all other countries).

We measure the performance of our segments primarily on an income before income taxes basis, after excluding the impact to earnings from gains and losses related to market valuation adjustments to derivatives primarily related to movements in interest rates. These adjustments are included in unallocated risk management and are excluded in assessing our North America and International segment performance, because our risk management activities are carried out on a centralized basis at the corporate level, with only certain elements allocated to these segments. We also adjust segment performance to re-allocate interest expense between the North America and International segments reflecting debt and equity levels proportionate to their product risk. The North America and International segments are presented on a managed basis. Managed basis includes *Finance receivables*, *net* and *Net investment in operating leases* on our balance sheet, and excludes unearned interest supplements related to finance receivables.

Key operating data for our business segments for the periods ended or at June 30 were as follows (in millions):

					Unallocated/Eliminations						
	North America Segment		International Segment		Unallocated Risk Management		Effect of Unearned Interest Supplements		Total Unallocated/ Eliminations		Total
Second Quarter 2013											
Total revenue (a)	\$	1,752	\$	428	\$	(25)	\$	_	\$ (25)	\$	2,155
Income/(Loss) before income taxes		391		88		(25)		_	(25)		454
Other disclosures											
Depreciation on vehicles subject to operating leases		657		68		_		_	_		725
Interest expense		523		159		_		_	_		682
Provision for credit losses		4		16		_		_	_		20
Second Quarter 2012											
Total revenue (a)(b)	\$	1,637	\$	431	\$	(48)	\$	_	\$ (48)	\$	2,020
Income/(Loss) before income taxes (b)		435		48		(45)		_	(45)		438
Other disclosures											
Depreciation on vehicles subject to operating leases		502		81		_		_	_		583
Interest expense		577		202		(5)		_	(5)		774
Provision for credit losses		(51)		_		_		_	_		(51)

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents Total financing revenue, Insurance premiums earned, and Other income, net.

<sup>(</sup>b) In the second quarter of 2012, we recorded a \$37 million unfavorable cumulative pre-tax accounting adjustment to correct the amortization of interest supplements for certain International retail finance contracts, which decreased *Interest supplements and other support costs earned from affiliated companies* and decreased *Finance receivables, net.* The impact of the accounting adjustment on our previously issued annual and interim financial statements was not material.

#### **NOTE 13. SEGMENT INFORMATION (Continued)**

					Unallocated/Eliminations						
	North America Segment		International Segment		Unallocated Risk Management		Effect of Unearned Interest Supplements		Total Unallocated/ Eliminations		Total
First Half 2013											
Total revenue (a)	\$	3,442	\$	850	\$	(16)	\$	_	\$ (16)	\$	4,276
Income before income taxes		798		179		(16)		-	(16)		961
Other disclosures											
Depreciation on vehicles subject to operating leases		1,242		125		_		_	_		1,367
Interest expense		1,040		325		_		_	_		1,365
Provision for credit losses		26		23		_		_	_		49
Net finance receivables and net investment in operating leases		77,572		17,852		_		(1,421)	(1,421)		94,003
Total assets		86,885		23,021		_		(1,421)	(1,421)		108,485
First Half 2012											
Total revenue (a)(b)	\$	3,249	\$	933	\$	(95)	\$	_	\$ (95)	\$	4,087
Income before income taxes (b)		839		139		(88)			(88)		890
Other disclosures											
Depreciation on vehicles subject to operating leases		997		171		_		_	_		1,168
Interest expense		1,153		432		(8)		_	(8)		1,577
Provision for credit losses		(74)		(1)		_		_	_		(75)
Net finance receivables and net investment in operating leases (b)		68,463		17,045		_		(1,546)	(1,546)		83,962
Total assets (b)		78,975		22,472		_		(1,546)	(1,546)		99,901

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents Total financing revenue, Insurance premiums earned, and Other income, net.

<sup>(</sup>b) In the second quarter of 2012, we recorded a \$37 million unfavorable cumulative pre-tax accounting adjustment to correct the amortization of interest supplements for certain International retail finance contracts, which decreased *Interest supplements and other support costs earned from affiliated companies* and decreased *Finance receivables, net*. The impact of the accounting adjustment on our previously issued annual and interim financial statements was not material.

#### **NOTE 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

Commitments and contingencies consist primarily of guarantees and indemnifications, litigation and claims, and lease commitments.

#### **Guarantees and Indemnifications**

The carrying value of recorded liabilities related to guarantees was de minimis at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. The following guarantees and indemnifications were issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012:

Guarantees of Certain Obligations of Unconsolidated and Other Affiliates. In some cases, we have guaranteed debt and other financial obligations of unconsolidated affiliates, including Ford. Expiration dates vary, and guarantees will terminate on payment and/or cancellation of the obligation. A payment by us would be triggered by failure of the guaranteed party to fulfill its obligation covered by the guarantee. In some circumstances, we are entitled to recover from Ford or an affiliate of Ford amounts paid by us under the guarantee. However, our ability to enforce these rights is sometimes stayed until the guaranteed party is paid in full. The maximum potential payments under these guarantees totaled \$72 million and \$78 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Of these values, \$63 million and \$70 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, were counter-guaranteed by Ford to us.

Indemnifications. In the ordinary course of business, we execute contracts involving indemnifications standard in the industry and indemnifications specific to a transaction. These indemnifications might include and are not limited to claims relating to any of the following: environmental, tax, and shareholder matters; intellectual property rights; governmental regulations and employment-related matters; dealers and other commercial contractual relationships; and financial matters, such as securitizations. Performance under these indemnities generally would be triggered by a breach of terms of the contract or by a third-party claim. We also are party to numerous indemnifications which do not limit potential payment; therefore, we are unable to estimate a maximum amount of potential future payments that could result from claims made under these indemnities.

#### Litigation and Claims

Various legal actions, proceedings, and claims (generally, "matters") are pending or may be instituted or asserted against us. These include but are not limited to matters arising out of governmental regulations relating to other matters; tax matters; alleged illegal acts; financial services; employment-related matters; dealers and other contractual relationships; personal injury matters; investor matters; and financial reporting matters. Certain of the pending legal actions are, or purport to be, class actions. Some of the matters involve or may involve claims for compensatory, punitive, or antitrust or treble damages in very large amounts, sanctions, assessments, fines, penalties, or other relief, which, if granted, would require very large expenditures.

The extent of our financial exposure to these matters is difficult to estimate. Many matters do not specify a dollar amount for damages, and many others specify only a jurisdictional minimum. To the extent an amount is asserted, our historical experience suggests that in most instances the amount asserted is not a reliable indicator of the ultimate outcome.

In evaluating for accrual and disclosure purposes matters filed against us, we take into consideration factors such as our historical experience with matters of a similar nature, the specific facts and circumstances asserted, the likelihood of our prevailing, and the severity of any potential loss. We reevaluate and update our accruals as matters progress over time.

#### NOTE 14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

For nearly all of our matters, where our historical experience with similar matters is of limited value (i.e., "non-pattern matters"), we evaluate matters based on the individual facts and circumstances. For non-pattern matters, we evaluate whether there is a reasonable possibility of a material loss in excess of any accrual that can be estimated. It is reasonably possible that some of the matters for which accruals have not been established could be decided unfavorably to us and could require us to pay damages or make other expenditures in amounts or a range of amounts that cannot be estimated at June 30, 2013. We do not reasonably expect, based on our analysis, that such matters would have a material effect on future financial statements for a particular year, although such an outcome is possible.

As noted, the litigation process is subject to many uncertainties, and the outcome of individual litigated matters is not predictable with assurance. Our assessments are based on our knowledge and experience, but the ultimate outcome of any matter could require payment substantially in excess of the amount that we have accrued and/or disclosed.

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income and of comprehensive income for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the consolidated statements of shareholder's interest and of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, of comprehensive income, of shareholder's interest, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 18, 2013, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information as of December 31, 2012, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Detroit, Michigan July 31, 2013

# ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### **Results of Operations**

#### Overview


In general, we measure period-to-period changes in pre-tax profit using the causal factors listed below:

- Volume Volume is reflected within Net financing margin on the income statement.
  - Volume primarily measures changes in net financing margin driven by changes in average finance receivables and net investment in operating leases at prior period financing margin yield (defined below in financing margin).
  - Volume changes are primarily driven by the volume of new and used vehicle sales and leases, the extent to which we purchase retail installment sale and lease contracts, the extent to which we provide wholesale financing, the sales price of the vehicles financed, the level of dealer inventories, Ford-sponsored special-rate financing programs available exclusively through us, and the availability of cost-effective funding for the purchase of retail installment sale and lease contracts and to provide wholesale financing.
- Financing Margin Financing margin is reflected within Net financing margin on the income statement.
  - Financing margin variance is the period-to-period change in financing margin yield multiplied by the present period average receivables. Financing margin yield equals revenue, less interest expense and scheduled depreciation for the period, divided by average receivables for the same period.
  - Financing margin changes are driven by changes in revenue and interest expense. Changes in revenue are
    primarily driven by the level of market interest rates, cost assumptions in pricing, mix of business, and
    competitive environment. Changes in interest expense are primarily driven by the level of market interest
    rates, borrowing spreads, and asset-liability management.
- Credit Loss Credit loss is reflected as the Provision for credit losses on the income statement.
  - Credit loss measures changes in the provision for credit losses. For analysis purposes, management splits the
    provision for credit losses primarily into net charge-offs and the change in the allowance for credit losses.
  - Net charge-off changes are primarily driven by the number of repossessions, severity per repossession, and recoveries. Changes in the allowance for credit losses are primarily driven by changes in historical trends in credit losses and recoveries, changes in the composition and size of our present portfolio, changes in trends in historical used vehicle values, and changes in economic conditions. For additional information on the allowance for credit losses, refer to the "Critical Accounting Estimates Allowance for Credit Losses" section of Item 7 of Part II of our 10-K Report.
- Lease Residual Lease Residual is reflected within Depreciation on vehicles subject to operating leases on the income statement.
  - Lease residual measures changes to residual performance. For analysis purposes, management splits residual performance primarily into residual gains and losses, and the change in accumulated supplemental depreciation.
  - Residual gain and loss changes are primarily driven by the number of vehicles returned to us and sold, and the difference between the auction value and the depreciated value of the vehicles sold. Changes in accumulated supplemental depreciation are primarily driven by changes in our estimate of the number of vehicles that will be returned to us and sold, and changes in our estimate of the expected auction value at the end of the lease term. For additional information on accumulated supplemental depreciation, refer to the "Critical Accounting Estimates Accumulated Depreciation on Vehicles Subject to Operating Leases" section of Item 7 of Part II of our 10-K Report.
- Other Primarily includes Operating expenses, Other revenue and Insurance expenses on the income statement.
  - Changes in operating expenses are primarily driven by salaried personnel costs, facilities costs, and costs associated with the origination and servicing of customer contracts.
  - In general, other revenue changes are primarily driven by changes in earnings related to market valuation adjustments to derivatives (primarily related to movements in interest rates), and other miscellaneous items.

Second Quarter 2013 Compared with Second Quarter 2012

On a pre-tax basis, we earned \$454 million in the second quarter of 2013 compared with \$438 million a year ago. The following chart shows the increase in pre-tax profit by causal factor:

#### 2013 SECOND QUARTER PRE-TAX RESULTS COMPARED WITH 2012



<sup>(</sup>a) Total receivables reflect net finance receivables and net investment in operating leases reported on Ford Credit's balance sheet. Managed receivables equal total receivables, excluding unearned interest supplements of \$(2) billion at June 30, 2012 and \$(1) billion at June 30, 2013.

The increase in pre-tax profits is more than explained by higher volume and financing margin, offset partially by lower credit loss reserve reductions.

The higher volume is explained by higher receivables in our North America segment, which were primarily driven by an increase in net investment in operating leases and in non-consumer dealer finance receivables.

Higher financing margin is primarily explained by lower borrowing costs in our International segment.

The lower credit loss reserve reductions are primarily related to the consumer finance receivable portfolio in our North America segment.

Results of our operations by business segment and unallocated risk management for the periods ended June 30 are shown below (in millions). For additional information, see Note 13 of our Notes to the Financial Statements.

	Second Quarter					First Half						
	2013		Over		2013 ver/(Under) 2012	2013		2013 2012		2013 Over/(Under) 2012		
Income before income taxes												
North America Segment	\$	391	\$	435	\$	(44)	\$	798	\$	839	\$	(41)
International Segment		88		48		40		179		139		40
Unallocated risk management		(25)		(45)		20		(16)		(88)		72
Income before income taxes	\$	454	\$	438	\$	16	\$	961	\$	890	\$	71

#### North America Segment

The decrease in the North America segment pre-tax profits for the second quarter is primarily explained by lower credit loss reserve reductions in consumer finance receivables, residual performance in operating leases, interest and investment income, as well as the non-recurrence of one-time settlements, offset partially by higher receivables in operating leases.

The decrease in the North America segment pre-tax profits for the first half of 2013 is primarily explained by lower credit loss reserve reductions in consumer finance receivables, lower interest and investment income, and the non-recurrence of one-time settlements, offset partially by higher receivables in operating leases.

#### International Segment

The increase in the International segment pre-tax profits for the second quarter is primarily explained by favorable performance in Europe, which is more than explained by higher financing margin driven by lower borrowing costs, offset partially by a wholesale charge-off.

The first half increase in pre-tax profits is explained by favorable performance in Europe, which is more than explained by higher financing margin, offset partially by the non-recurrence of 2012 credit loss reserve reductions primarily in non-consumer dealer finance receivables.

#### Unallocated Risk Management

The improvement in unallocated risk management income reflects primarily the non-recurrence of unfavorable performance in market valuation adjustments to derivative features included in the Ford Upgrade Exchange Linked ("FUEL") notes. For additional information, see Notes 7 and 12 of our Notes to the Financial Statements.

#### **Contract Placement Volume and Financing Share**

Total worldwide consumer financing contract placement volumes for new and used vehicles for the periods ended June 30 were as follows (in thousands):

Second Q	uarter	First Half		
2013	2012	2013	2012	
268	245	540	481	
40	34	65	57	
308	279	605	538	
109	104	212	201	
18	12	36	25	
127	116	248	226	
435	395	853	764	
	2013  268 40 308  109 18 127	268 245 40 34 308 279 109 104 18 12 127 116	2013         2012         2013           268         245         540           40         34         65           308         279         605           109         104         212           18         12         36           127         116         248	

Shown below are our financing shares of new Ford- and Lincoln-brand vehicles sold by dealers in the United States and new Ford-brand vehicles sold by dealers in Europe for the periods ended June 30. Also shown below are our wholesale financing shares of new Ford- and Lincoln-brand vehicles acquired by dealers in the United States, excluding fleet, and of new Ford-brand vehicles acquired by dealers in Europe for the periods ended June 30:

	Second Quarter		First Ha	lf
	2013	2012	2013	2012
United States				
Financing share - Ford and Lincoln				
Retail installment and lease	34%	36%	37%	37%
Wholesale	77	78	77	78
Europe				
Financing share - Ford				
Retail installment and lease	33%	32%	34%	30%
Wholesale	98	98	98	98

#### North America Segment

The increase in total contract placement volumes primarily reflects higher sales of new Ford- and Lincoln-brand vehicles. Lower U.S. Ford and Lincoln financing share in the second quarter is explained primarily by changes in Ford's marketing programs. The financing share for the first half of 2013 is unchanged.

#### International Segment

The total contract placement volumes were up from a year ago, primarily reflecting higher volume in Europe driven by higher financing share and growth in China. The increase in financing share is explained primarily by changes in Ford's marketing programs and increased focus on repeat customers.

#### **Financial Condition**

#### Finance Receivables and Operating Leases

Our receivables, including finance receivables and operating leases, were as follows (in billions):

		ne 30, 2013	Decem 20	
Receivables		, .		,
Finance receivables – North America Segment				
Consumer				
Retail financing	\$	39.5	\$	39.5
Non-Consumer				
Dealer financing (a)		20.2		19.5
Other		1.0		1.1
Total North America Segment – finance receivables (b)		60.7		60.1
Finance receivables – International Segment				
Consumer				
Retail financing		9.1		9.0
Non-Consumer				
Dealer financing (a)		8.0		7.5
Other		0.4		0.4
Total International Segment – finance receivables (b)		17.5		16.9
Unearned interest supplements		(1.4)		(1.5)
Allowance for credit losses		(0.4)		(0.4)
Finance receivables, net		76.4		75.1
Net investment in operating leases (b)		17.6		14.7
Total receivables	\$	94.0	\$	89.8
Memo:	<del></del>			
Total managed receivables (c)	\$	95.4	\$	91.3

<sup>(</sup>a) Dealer financing primarily includes wholesale loans to dealers to finance the purchase of vehicle inventory. For additional information, see Note 2 of our Notes to the Financial Statements.

Receivables at June 30, 2013 increased from year-end 2012, primarily in our North America segment, which were driven by an increase in net investment in operating leases and in non-consumer dealer finance receivables, consistent with changes in Ford's marketing programs and wholesale volumes.

<sup>(</sup>b) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes consumer receivables before allowance for credit losses of \$26.9 billion and \$29.3 billion and non-consumer receivables before allowance for credit losses of \$21.8 billion and \$21.6 billion, respectively, that have been sold for legal purposes in securitization transactions but continue to be reported in our consolidated financial statements. In addition, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes net investment in operating leases before allowance for credit losses of \$6.3 billion that have been included in securitization transactions but continue to be reported in our financial statements. The receivables are available only for payment of the debt and other obligations issued or arising in the securitization transactions; they are not available to pay our other obligations or the claims of our other creditors. We hold the right to receive the excess cash flows not needed to pay the debt and other obligations issued or arising in each of these securitization transactions. For additional information on our securitization transactions, refer to the "Securitization Transactions" and "On-Balance Sheet Arrangements" sections of Item 7 of Part II of our 10-K Report and Note 6 of our Notes to the Financial Statements for the period ended December 31, 2012.

<sup>(</sup>c) Excludes unearned interest supplements related to finance receivables.

#### **Credit Risk**

Credit risk is the possibility of loss from a customer's or dealer's failure to make payments according to contract terms. Credit risk has a significant impact on our business. We actively manage the credit risk of our consumer (retail installment and lease) and non-consumer (wholesale and dealer loan) segments to balance our level of risk and return. The allowance for credit losses (also referred to as the credit loss reserves) is our estimate of the probable credit losses inherent in receivables and leases at the date of our balance sheet. The allowance for credit losses is estimated using a combination of models and management judgment, and is based on such factors as portfolio quality, historical loss performance, and receivable levels. Consistent with our normal practices and policies, we assess the adequacy of our allowance for credit losses quarterly and regularly evaluate the assumptions and models used in establishing the allowance. A description of our allowance setting process is provided in the "Critical Accounting Estimates Allowance for Credit Losses" section of Item 7 of Part II of our 10-K Report.

Most of our charge-offs are related to retail installment sale and lease contracts. Charge-offs result from the number of vehicle repossessions, the unpaid balance outstanding at the time of repossession, the auction price of repossessed vehicles, and other charge-offs. We also incur credit losses on our wholesale loans, but default rates for these receivables historically have been substantially lower than those for retail installment sale and lease contracts. For additional information on severity, refer to the "Critical Accounting Estimates - Allowance for Credit Losses" section of Item 7 of Part II of our 10-K Report.


In purchasing retail finance and lease contracts, we use a proprietary scoring system that classifies contracts using several factors, such as credit bureau information, credit bureau scores (e.g., FICO score), and contract characteristics. In addition to our proprietary scoring system, we consider other factors, such as employment history, financial stability, and capacity to pay. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, between 5%-6% of the outstanding U.S. retail finance and lease contracts in our portfolio were classified by us as higher risk at contract inception. For additional information on the quality of our receivables, see Note 2 of our Notes to the Financial Statements.

#### **Credit Loss Metrics**

#### Worldwide

The charts below detail (i) quarterly trends of charge-offs (credit losses, net of recoveries), (ii) loss-to-receivables ratios (charge-offs on annualized basis divided by the average amount of receivables outstanding for the period, excluding credit loss reserve and unearned interest supplements related to finance receivables), (iii) credit loss reserve, and (iv) our credit loss reserve as a percentage of end-of-period ("EOP") receivables:

#### **WORLDWIDE CREDIT LOSS METRICS**



Our second quarter credit losses continued to be near historic lows.


Year-over-year charge-offs were up \$15 million, primarily reflecting a wholesale charge-off in Europe, and higher severity and lower recoveries in the United States. Second quarter charge-offs compared with first quarter were down \$13 million, reflecting fewer defaults in the United States. The loss-to-receivables ratio was up six basis points compared with the prior year.

The credit loss reserve in the second quarter of 2013 was \$376 million, down \$30 million from a year ago and down \$13 million from the first quarter, reflecting the continuation of the historically low losses.

#### U.S. Ford and Lincoln Brand Retail Installment and Operating Lease

The following charts show the credit loss metrics for our U.S. Ford- and Lincoln-brand retail installment sale and operating lease portfolio, which comprised approximately 75% of our worldwide consumer portfolio at June 30, 2013:

#### U.S. RETAIL AND LEASE CREDIT LOSS METRICS



Over-60-day delinquencies were equal to the same period a year ago, and down four basis points from the prior quarter.

Repossessions in the second quarter were 6,000 units, or 1.06% of average accounts outstanding, down 13 basis points from the same period a year ago. This is our lowest repossession ratio on record.

Severity was \$7,600 in the second quarter, up \$900 from the same period a year ago and up \$400 from the first quarter reflecting lower auction values.

Year-over-year increases in charge-offs and LTR primarily reflect higher severity, while quarter-over-quarter decreases primarily reflect lower repossession volume.

#### Residual Risk


We are exposed to residual risk on operating leases and similar balloon payment products where the customer may return the financed vehicle to us. Residual risk is the possibility that the amount we obtain from returned vehicles will be less than our estimate of the expected residual value for the vehicle. We estimate the expected residual value by evaluating recent auction values, return volumes for our leased vehicles, industry-wide used vehicle prices, marketing incentive plans, and vehicle quality data. Changes in expected residual values impact depreciation expense, which is recognized on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease.

For additional information on our residual risk on operating leases, refer to the "Critical Accounting Estimates - Accumulated Depreciation on Vehicles Subject to Operating Leases" section of Item 7 of Part II of our 10-K Report.

#### U.S. Ford and Lincoln Brand Operating Lease Experience

The following charts show return volumes and auction values at constant second-quarter 2013 vehicle mix for vehicles returned in the respective periods. The U.S. operating lease portfolio accounted for about 88% of our total investment in operating leases at June 30, 2013.

#### U.S. LEASE RESIDUAL PERFORMANCE



Lease return volumes in the second quarter were 12,000 units higher than the same period last year, primarily reflecting higher lease placements in 2011, compared with prior years. The second quarter lease return rate was 68%, up nine percentage points compared with the same period last year, reflecting lower auction values. As auction values decline, typically fewer customers elect to purchase their vehicle at lease-end.

In the second quarter of 2013, our 24-month and 36-month average auction values declined about \$400 and \$1,000, respectively, when compared to a year ago. Both 24-month and 36-month auction values declined about \$500 from the first quarter.

Our worldwide net investment in operating leases was \$17.6 billion at the end of the second quarter of 2013, up \$2.9 billion from year-end 2012.

# **Credit Ratings**

Our short-term and long-term debt is rated by four credit rating agencies designated as nationally recognized statistical rating organizations ("NRSROs") by the SEC:

- DBRS Limited ("DBRS");
- Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch");
- Moody's Investors Service, Inc. ("Moody's"); and
- Standard & Poor's Ratings Services, a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. ("S&P").

The following chart summarizes changes in long-term senior unsecured credit ratings, short-term credit ratings, and the outlook assigned to us since January 2012 by these four NRSROs:


	NRSRO RATINGS											
		DBRS			Fitch Moody's				S&P			
Date	Long- Term	Short- Term	Trend	Long- Term	Short- Term	Outlook	Long- Term	Short- Term	Outlook	Long- Term	Short- Term	Outlook
Jan. 2012	BB (high)	R-4	Stable	BB+	В	Positive	Ba1	NP	Positive	BB+	NR	Stable
Apr. 2012	BB (high)	R-4	Stable	BBB-	F3	Stable	Ba1	NP	Positive	BB+	NR	Stable
May 2012	BB (high)	R-4	Positive	BBB-	F3	Stable	Baa3	P-3	Stable	BB+	NR	Stable
Aug. 2012	BB (high)	R-4	Positive	BBB-	F3	Stable	Baa3	P-3	Stable	BB+	NR	Positive
Sep. 2012	BBB (low)	R-3	Stable	BBB-	F3	Stable	Baa3	P-3	Stable	BB+ (a)	NR	Positive

<sup>(</sup>a) S&P assigns FCE Bank plc ("FCE") a long-term senior unsecured credit rating of BBB-, a one-notch higher rating than Ford Credit.

#### **Funding**

Our funding strategy remains focused on diversification and we plan to continue accessing a variety of markets, channels and investors. The following chart shows the trends in funding for our managed receivables:

#### **FUNDING STRUCTURE**



<sup>(</sup>a) The Ford Interest Advantage program consists of our floating rate demand notes.

At the end of the second quarter of 2013, managed receivables were \$95.4 billion and we ended the quarter with \$10.0 billion in cash, excluding marketable securities related to insurance activities. Securitized funding was 45% of managed receivables, down from 48% at year-end 2012.

We now expect year-end managed receivables in the range of \$97 billion to \$102 billion and securitized funding is expected to represent about 44% to 47% of total managed receivables, both within prior guidance. It is our expectation that the securitized funding as a percent of managed receivables will decline over time.

<sup>(</sup>b) Obligations issued in securitization transactions that are payable only out of collections on the underlying securitized assets and related enhancements.

<sup>(</sup>c) Excludes marketable securities related to insurance activities.

#### Global Public Term Funding Plan

The following table shows our planned issuances for full-year 2013, and our public term funding issuances through July 30, 2013, and our funding issuances for full-year 2012 and 2011 (in billions):

	Global Public Term Funding Plan							
	 2013			2012			2011	
	ull-Year orecast		Through July 30,		Full-Year		Full-Year	
Unsecured	\$ 7-10	\$	5	\$	9	\$	8	
Securitizations (a)	12-14		9		14		11	
Total	\$ 19-24	\$	14	\$	23	\$	19	

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes Rule 144A offerings.

Through July 30, 2013, we completed about \$14 billion of public term funding in the United States, Canada and Europe, including about \$5 billion of unsecured debt and about \$9 billion of securitizations.

For 2013, we project full-year public term funding in the range of \$19 billion to \$24 billion, consisting of \$7 billion to \$10 billion of unsecured debt and \$12 billion to \$14 billion of public securitizations.

#### Liquidity

We define gross liquidity as cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities (excluding marketable securities related to insurance activities) and capacity (which includes our credit facilities, FCAR Owner Trust retail securitization program ("FCAR"), and asset-backed funding facilities), less utilization of liquidity. Utilization of liquidity is the amount funded under our liquidity sources, and also includes the cash and cash equivalents required to support securitization transactions. Securitization cash is cash held for the benefit of the securitization investors (for example, a reserve fund). Liquidity available for use is defined as gross liquidity less asset-backed capacity in excess of eligible receivables.

The following table illustrates our liquidity programs and utilization (in billions):

	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Liquidity Sources (a)		
Cash (b)	\$ 10	0.0 \$ 10.9
Unsecured credit facilities		1.4 0.9
FCAR bank lines		5.8 6.3
Conduit/Bank Asset-Backed Securitizations ("ABS")	25	5.1 24.3
Total liquidity sources	42	2.3 42.4
Utilization of Liquidity Securitization cash (c)	(;	3.0) (3.0)
Unsecured credit facilities	,	0.2) (3.0)
FCAR bank lines	·	
Conduit/Bank ABS	·	(5.8) (0.2) (12.3)
Total utilization of liquidity	(18	3.2) (21.2)
Gross liquidity	24	1.1 21.2
Capacity in excess of eligible receivables	(	1.2) (1.5)
Liquidity available for use	\$ 22	2.9 \$ 19.7

<sup>(</sup>a) FCAR and conduits subject to availability of sufficient assets and ability to obtain derivatives to manage interest rate risk; FCAR commercial paper must be supported by bank lines equal to at least 100% of the principal amount; conduits include committed securitization programs.

At June 30, 2013, we had \$42.3 billion of committed capacity and cash diversified across a variety of markets and platforms. The utilization of our liquidity totaled \$18.2 billion at quarter end, compared with \$21.2 billion at year-end 2012. The decreased utilization primarily reflects lower usage of our private conduits.

<sup>(</sup>b) Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities (excludes marketable securities related to insurance activities).

<sup>(</sup>c) Securitization cash is to be used only to support on-balance sheet securitization transactions.

We ended the quarter with gross liquidity of \$24.1 billion. Capacity in excess of eligible receivables was \$1.2 billion. Total liquidity available for use continues to remain strong at \$22.9 billion, up \$3.2 billion from year-end 2012. We are focused on maintaining liquidity levels that meet our business and funding requirements through economic cycles.

Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Marketable Securities. At June 30, 2013, our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities (excluding marketable securities related to insurance activities) totaled \$10.0 billion, compared with \$10.9 billion at year-end 2012. In the normal course of our funding activities, we may generate more proceeds than are required for our immediate funding needs. These excess amounts are maintained primarily as highly liquid investments, which provide liquidity for our short-term funding needs and give us flexibility in the use of our other funding programs. Our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities are held primarily in highly liquid investments, which provide for anticipated and unanticipated cash needs. Our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities (excluding marketable securities related to insurance activities) primarily include U.S. Treasury obligations, federal agency securities, bank time deposits with investment-grade institutions and non-U.S. central banks, corporate investment-grade securities, A-1/P-1 (or higher) rated commercial paper, debt obligations of a select group of non-U.S. governments, non-U.S. government agencies, supranational institutions and money market funds that carry the highest possible ratings. Within our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities, we did not hold investments in government obligations of Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, or Spain at June 30, 2013.

The average maturity of these investments ranges from 90 days to up to one year and is adjusted based on market conditions and liquidity needs. We monitor our cash levels and average maturity on a daily basis. Cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities include amounts to be used only to support our securitization transactions of \$3.0 billion at June 30, 2013, same as December 31, 2012.

Our substantial liquidity and cash balance have provided the opportunity to selectively call and repurchase our unsecured and asset-backed debt through market transactions. In the second quarter and first half of 2013, through market transactions, we called an aggregate principal amount of \$130 million and \$163 million, respectively, (of which none were maturing in 2013) of our unsecured debt.

Committed Liquidity Programs. We and our subsidiaries, including FCE Bank plc's ("FCE"), have entered into agreements with a number of bank-sponsored asset-backed commercial paper conduits ("conduits") and other financial institutions. Such counterparties are contractually committed, at our option, to purchase from us eligible retail or wholesale assets or to purchase or make advances under asset-backed securities backed by retail, lease, or wholesale assets for proceeds of up to \$25.1 billion (\$14.7 billion of retail, \$5.4 billion of wholesale, and \$5.0 billion of lease assets) at June 30, 2013, of which about \$4.9 billion relates to commitments to FCE. These committed liquidity programs have varying maturity dates, with \$21.9 billion (of which \$2.5 billion relates to FCE commitments) having maturities within the next twelve months and the remaining balance having maturities between August 2014 and June 2015. We plan to achieve capacity renewals to protect our global funding needs, optimize capacity utilization and maintain sufficient liquidity.

Our ability to obtain funding under these programs is subject to having a sufficient amount of assets eligible for these programs as well as our ability to obtain interest rate hedging arrangements for certain securitization transactions. Our capacity in excess of eligible receivables protects us against the risk of lower than planned renewal rates. At June 30, 2013, \$10.2 billion of these commitments were in use. These programs are free of material adverse change clauses, restrictive financial covenants (for example, debt-to-equity limitations and minimum net worth requirements), and generally, credit rating triggers that could limit our ability to obtain funding. However, the unused portion of these commitments may be terminated if the performance of the underlying assets deteriorates beyond specified levels. Based on our experience and knowledge as servicer of the related assets, we do not expect any of these programs to be terminated due to such events.

Credit Facilities. During the second quarter, FCE replaced its £440 million syndicated credit facility with a £720 million (equivalent to \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2013) syndicated credit facility that matures on April 25, 2016 (the "FCE Credit Agreement"). At June 30, 2013, Ford Credit and its majority-owned subsidiaries had \$1.4 billion of contractually-committed unsecured credit facilities with financial institutions, of which \$1.2 billion was available for use, including \$1.1 billion under the FCE Credit Agreement. On July 26, 2013, FCE drew €460 million under the FCE Credit Agreement.

The FCE Credit Agreement contains certain covenants, including an obligation for FCE to maintain its ratio of regulatory capital to risk-weighted assets at no less than the applicable regulatory minimum, and for the support agreement between FCE and Ford Credit to remain in full force and effect (and enforced by FCE to ensure that its net worth is maintained at no less than \$500 million). In addition to customary payment, representation, bankruptcy, and judgment defaults, the FCE Credit Agreement contains cross-payment and cross-acceleration defaults with respect to other debt. At June 30, 2013, the FCE Credit Agreement included £95 million of commitments from financial institutions based in Italy. There were no commitments from financial institutions based in Greece, Ireland, Portugal or Spain.

In addition, at July 1, 2013, we had about \$5.8 billion of contractually-committed liquidity facilities provided by banks to support our FCAR program, all of which expire in 2014. Utilization of these facilities is subject to conditions specific to the FCAR program and our having a sufficient amount of eligible retail assets for securitization. The FCAR program must be supported by liquidity facilities equal to at least 100% of its outstanding balance. At July 1, 2013, about \$5.8 billion of FCAR's bank liquidity facilities were available to support FCAR's asset-backed commercial paper, subordinated debt, or FCAR's purchase of our asset-backed securities. At June 30, 2013, the outstanding commercial paper balance for the FCAR program was \$4.8 billion.

We are projecting a December 31, 2013 FCAR asset-backed commercial paper balance of between \$3 billion to \$4 billion, down \$1 billion from our prior year-end 2013 forecast. FCAR asset-backed commercial paper balances and associated bank liquidity lines have declined over time reflecting a reduction in the size of the asset-backed commercial paper market, and an evolving bank regulatory and credit rating environment.

#### Liquidity Risks

Refer to the "Liquidity" section of Item 7 of Part II of our 10-K Report for a list of factors that could affect our liquidity.

#### Leverage

We use leverage, or the debt-to-equity ratio, to make various business decisions, including evaluating and establishing pricing for retail, wholesale, and lease financing, and assessing our capital structure. We refer to our shareholder's interest as equity.

The following table shows the calculation of our financial statement leverage (in billions, except for ratios):

	ne 30, 013	December 31, 2012		
Total debt	\$ 90.8	\$	89.3	
Equity	10.0		9.7	
Financial statement leverage (to 1)	9.1		9.2	

The following table shows the calculation of our managed leverage (in billions, except for ratios):

	ıne 30, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Total debt	\$ 90.8	\$	89.3
Adjustments for cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities (a)	(10.0)		(10.9)
Adjustments for derivative accounting (b)	(0.2)		(0.8)
Total adjusted debt	\$ 80.6	\$	77.6
Equity	\$ 10.0	\$	9.7
Adjustments for derivative accounting (b)	 (0.3)		(0.3)
Total adjusted equity	\$ 9.7	\$	9.4
Managed leverage (to 1) (c)	8.3		8.3

<sup>(</sup>a) Excludes marketable securities related to insurance activities.

We plan our managed leverage by considering prevailing market conditions and the risk characteristics of our business. At June 30, 2013, our managed leverage was 8.3:1, unchanged from December 31, 2012. For information on our planned distributions, refer to "Outlook" section below.

<sup>(</sup>b) Primarily related to market valuation adjustments to derivatives due to movements in interest rates. Adjustments to debt are related to designated fair value hedges and adjustments to equity are related to retained earnings.

<sup>(</sup>c) Equals total adjusted debt over total adjusted equity.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations (Continued)

# **Accounting Standards Issued But Not Yet Adopted**

For information on accounting standards issued but not yet adopted, see Note 1 of our Notes to the Financial Statements.

# Outlook

We continue to expect full year 2013 pre-tax profits to be about equal to 2012 and planned distributions to be about \$200 million for the year. We now expect year-end managed receivables in the range of \$97 billion to \$102 billion, which is within our prior range of \$95 billion to \$105 billion.

#### **Risk Factors**

Statements included or incorporated by reference herein may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are based on expectations, forecasts, and assumptions by our management and involve a number of risks, uncertainties, and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those stated, including, without limitation:

- Decline in industry sales volume, particularly in the United States or Europe, due to financial crisis, recession, geopolitical events, or other factors;
- Decline in Ford's market share or failure to achieve growth;
- Lower-than-anticipated market acceptance of Ford's new or existing products;
- Market shift away from sales of larger, more profitable vehicles beyond Ford's current planning assumption, particularly in the United States;
- An increase in or continued volatility of fuel prices, or reduced availability of fuel;
- Continued or increased price competition resulting from industry excess capacity, currency fluctuations, or other factors;
- Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, and interest rates;
- Adverse effects resulting from economic, geopolitical, or other events;
- Economic distress of suppliers that may require Ford to provide substantial financial support or take other
  measures to ensure supplies of components or materials and could increase costs, affect liquidity, or cause
  production constraints or disruptions;
- Work stoppages at Ford or supplier facilities or other limitations on production (whether as a result of labor disputes, natural or man-made disasters, tight credit markets or other financial distress, production constraints or difficulties, or other factors);
- Single-source supply of components or materials;
- Labor or other constraints on Ford's ability to maintain competitive cost structure;
- Substantial pension and postretirement health care and life insurance liabilities impairing our liquidity or financial condition;
- Worse-than-assumed economic and demographic experience for postretirement benefit plans (e.g., discount rates or investment returns);
- Restriction on use of tax attributes from tax law "ownership change;"
- The discovery of defects in vehicles resulting in delays in new model launches, recall campaigns, or increased warranty costs;
- Increased safety, emissions, fuel economy, or other regulations resulting in higher costs, cash expenditures, and/ or sales restrictions;
- Unusual or significant litigation, governmental investigations, or adverse publicity arising out of alleged defects in products, perceived environmental impacts, or otherwise;
- A change in requirements under long-term supply arrangements committing Ford to purchase minimum or fixed quantities of certain parts, or to pay a minimum amount to the seller ("take-or-pay" contracts);
- Adverse effects on results from a decrease in or cessation or clawback of government incentives related to investments;
- Inherent limitations of internal controls impacting financial statements and safeguarding of assets;
- Cybersecurity risks to operational systems, security systems, or infrastructure owned by Ford, Ford Credit, or a third-party vendor or supplier;
- Failure of financial institutions to fulfill commitments under committed credit and liquidity facilities;
- Inability of Ford Credit to access debt, securitization, or derivative markets around the world at competitive rates
  or in sufficient amounts, due to credit rating downgrades, market volatility, market disruption, regulatory
  requirements, or other factors;
- Higher-than-expected credit losses, lower-than-anticipated residual values, or higher-than-expected return volumes for leased vehicles;
- Increased competition from banks or other financial institutions seeking to increase their share of financing Ford vehicles; and
- New or increased credit, consumer, or data protection or other regulations resulting in higher costs and/or additional financing restrictions.

We cannot be certain that any expectation, forecast, or assumption made in preparing forward-looking statements will prove accurate, or that any projection will be realized. It is to be expected that there may be differences between projected and actual results. Our forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of their initial issuance, and we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise. For additional discussion, see "Item 1A, Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 as updated by our subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K.

#### Other Financial Information

With respect to the unaudited financial information of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC as of June 30, 2013, and for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 included in this Form 10-Q, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported that they have applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their separate report dated July 31, 2013 appearing herein states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 for their report on the unaudited financial information because that report is not a "report" or a "part" of the registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

#### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

In our 2012 10-K Report, we discuss in greater detail our market risk, counter-party risk, credit risk, residual risk, liquidity risk, and operating risk.

To provide a quantitative measure of the sensitivity of our pre-tax cash flow to changes in interest rates, we use interest rate scenarios that assume a hypothetical, instantaneous increase or decrease of one percentage point in all interest rates across all maturities (a "parallel shift"), as well as a base case that assumes that all interest rates remain constant at existing levels. These interest rate scenarios are purely hypothetical and do not represent our view of future interest rate movements. The differences in pre-tax cash flow between these scenarios and the base case over a twelve-month period represent an estimate of the sensitivity of our pre-tax cash flow. Under this model, we estimate that at June 30, 2013, all else constant, such an increase in interest rates would increase our pre-tax cash flow by \$49 million over the next 12 months, compared with an increase of \$77 million at December 31, 2012. The sensitivity analysis presented above assumes a one percentage point rate change to the yield curve that is both instantaneous and parallel. In reality, interest rate changes are rarely instantaneous or parallel and rates could move more or less than the one percentage point assumed in our analysis. As a result, the actual impact to pre-tax cash flow could be higher or lower than the results detailed above.

#### **ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Bernard B. Silverstone, our Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), and Michael L. Seneski, our Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") and Treasurer, have performed an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as that term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), as of June 30, 2013, and each has concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic reports filed under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms, and such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

#### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2013, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### **ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

We have none to report.

#### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits: please refer to the Exhibit Index on page 55.

Instruments defining the rights of holders of certain issues of long-term debt of Ford Credit have not been filed as exhibits to this Report because the authorized principal amount of any one of such issues does not exceed 10% of the total assets of Ford Credit. Ford Credit will furnish a copy of each such instrument to the SEC upon request.

# **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Ford Motor Credit Company LLC has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC

By: /s/ Michael L. Seneski

Michael L. Seneski

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

Date: July 31, 2013

# FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC

# **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit 12	Ford Motor Credit Company LLC and Subsidiaries Calculation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges	Filed with this Report
Exhibit 15	Letter of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, dated July 31, 2013, relating to Unaudited Interim Financial Information	Filed with this Report
Exhibit 31.1	Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of CEO	Filed with this Report
Exhibit 31.2	Rule 15d-14(a) Certification of CFO	Filed with this Report
Exhibit 32.1	Section 1350 Certification of CEO	Furnished with this Report
Exhibit 32.2	Section 1350 Certification of CFO	Furnished with this Report
Exhibit 99	Items 2 - 4 of Part I and Items 1 and 2 of Part II of Ford Motor Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013	Incorporated herein by reference to Ford Motor Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. File No. 1-3950
Exhibit 101.INS	XBRL Instance Document	*
Exhibit 101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document	*
Exhibit 101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document	*
Exhibit 101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document	*
Exhibit 101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document	*
Exhibit 101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document	*

<sup>\*</sup> Submitted electronically with this Report in accordance with the provisions of Regulation S-T.

# FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CALCULATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES (in millions)

	First	Half 2013
Earnings		
Income before income taxes	\$	961
Add/(Deduct):		
Equity in net income of affiliated companies		(11)
Dividends from affiliated companies		7
Fixed charges		1,369
Earnings	\$	2,326
Fixed charges		
Interest expense	\$	1,365
Interest portion of rental expense (a)		4
Total fixed charges	\$	1,369
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges		1.7

<sup>(</sup>a) One-third of all rental expense is deemed to be interest.

July 31, 2013

Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, N.E. Washington DC 20549

Re: Ford Motor Credit Company LLC Registration Statement Nos. 333-180094 and 333-180342 on Form S-3

#### Commissioners:

We are aware that our report dated July 31, 2013 on our review of interim financial information of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC (the "Company") for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and included in the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 is incorporated by reference in the aforementioned Registration Statements.

Very truly yours,

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Detroit, Michigan

#### **CERTIFICATION**

- I, Bernard B. Silverstone, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report:
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 31, 2013 /s/ Bernard B. Silverstone

Bernard B. Silverstone

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

#### **CERTIFICATION**

- I, Michael L. Seneski, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report:
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: July 31, 2013

/s/ Michael L. Seneski

Michael L. Seneski

Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

#### **CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

- I, Bernard B. Silverstone, Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC (the "Company"), hereby certify pursuant to Rule 15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:
  - 1. the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, to which this statement is furnished as an exhibit (the "Report"), fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
  - 2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: July 31, 2013 /s/ Bernard B. Silverstone

Bernard B. Silverstone

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

#### **CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

- I, Michael L. Seneski, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Ford Motor Credit Company LLC (the "Company"), hereby certify pursuant to Rule 15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:
  - 1. the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, to which this statement is furnished as an exhibit (the "Report"), fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
  - 2. the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: July 31, 2013 /s/ Michael L. Seneski

Michael L. Seneski Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer